Ceadershing Dewelopnest as a Stategy in Wined Mussonn
In sony to bepin with the Buble - became.
In a senoe, the Bitle is sumgh the reend 7 Godis onn stratery a leadeship dewelipment in uned numom. His plan is the plan $q$ seluatem. His statepy of oimh






With the chone 1 Arehom the striten becomer unue cuptallized and clear. God begins to unk int his shateyn g saluation thesh a chreen nativi, a leader nation. And be leads thes nution by meaws 7 thuee kaide o leades, in yrual.
(1) Kunp - like Arschen, Sull, Dand.
(2) Paphets - like Mosee, Hosce, Amos.
(3) Pincits - like Aarm

Which leces me to my pirit geneal obseantion I. A shatery

 ootabhonment. He mees several knibo a Kmis - Sime, Danid, oromma,
 as then fint guet leedes - a lany i the menitel sittoi, at a minming becder.

Lecdushin Dewelopment asc Stictern in Wald Munom
Genws dreen't say nuch abuat leadashyp. Tin was a subjed that seemed to riterest the dowijles, but not the Mastes, shangely

Jons spole mune abint developmy frelmwar.
 Bot the belluwes kept wountay to become lecders. lahe 22:24-27.

And gems rembed them If yon mout to leed, he sanp, lean K Serne.

It is the oed Testament, in fact, that hus mue duit tecchnig abnut lecedestiong them the Now in a seme, the whre oele Tertament is the stom a Goods stutean a lecdesship divelopment fir misomis. Hin poan is the plon q salugtin, ot he chomes ot ank thes waen-Cam. not Abel, -


Witt the chanic of Anchen the statery becomes mux coprallizet and
 thangh a leader-nation, the clisen fuge, the perge 9 Ispacl. And 5
 I Leaders. Kupo-like turkam, soul, Dand. Poplutes - like Moses.
Holite Dimp
Pments - like Aarm/





 This question has been and a lap, lng tune. from Cal peat

 gite his Repunction going full sued ster a rather shaky stat - finally forded a schorl. It was the final interneting uncle $q$ his Hic. A full Chiton life - he seemed to be sain need $t$ all - convesoin -

Bo Boride commentanes - systematic; the then - reform ed renewal - col finally, containing educetion-leamnip.
D) yo remember the motto he had engraved men the arched entrance to the sahel, wish is nv the U. 7 Geneve? Pulas et Santee.
Piety and Kunverpe - a as a supp parallel - Faith + leanne.

1. then

He bean with faith - as a footer of the Reformation. How could he do otherwise?
Reposes woun't firth all that was needed for scluativi. They coed quite Pane" You stand fast," Paul had witter th the Romans," "Yo stand fart only this faith." "Frith alme t was a watch ind g the Repmuntion. As Cain units "Man is purtijei by forth aloe ant by wothmig further then firquevers.. ad the cosines wicks is exantiel.



If faith alme is all we need - Why wot joint put Pates ines the $d m \mathrm{q}$ his schorl. In fact, why have the schorl at all?

Because Calvin, bepre his convession "a sudden conusin" he calle it been a humanit, al a very leaved une. His commentany on fenecis De Clementie which was puthished wly a year n so befre be cuso comented had so monghatortintes. Trom the anceits pluhsophes + focther that it um him motant recoghution as a nion yory infetlectioal. Bont it hadn't an once of thetrien in it - at least "m. "cearly Thenorial offumetion" is to be foll in it (gean Cabiss, the Ma fort Mentered, p.33). Then came his convesion - and a bunniy thint of a new kind 9 lansuedpe -

Here s haw be gers his Instituts...
"Tme and sulustantal wisdom conisits puniciailly of two parts: the kunwlesee y lood [wich he hed fisit come to reckum inth at his convession], ad the knowlidge $f$ ansches "[i.e. In formes hamavion ] But sile these hanches 7 knouledee are so intimately connected, which of them precedes and produces the thes ss not ean 5 doramen.." (I I. 1.)
 he make 'It clear
that no man can annic at the tme knuleige of lunceilf withut having firt cintempleted the dimie charecter and then deseended 5 the comordespaing of lis oun inative]. In thes unds - The inteprating
 Enuledpe \& God.
 q Gord apout from Sexptune (I.6.1) - which s Gid's am ruvelation o lunself. The intepating facter is the Brble.

Aid then is ansties, ven necessary 3Yy point - (I.7.4) There is wo cimechate knombepe of 4od thth Somptome, apaut


seck ye fout the Kee $q$ land al all then thup shall be added wits yon -

The lie $f$ the Cluntem in a life mon the gundie 7 the lnd - we are called $t 5$ walk in the peedin $f^{\text {the }}$ ono of daften o the lid -

We have no fer $q$ whaters 's thas, homuill, jint pane, lonely paain - We are pre fon the lyame o thep,
 $\star$ leam.

So Galvin added Sunce: Kunolde.

Chalmar - "shampen the are"
Mintus (Vnel). Fulthe (Catechin)

Lessons for Internetimal Students
Teyt. - Thave 3 texts bat Iim going hreek a mule. I have y points
(1) Phil. 4:4.15. "Re have lean red in whit tomeres state I am,.. to be cratat. Fint point: BE CONTENT.
(2) Phil y:13, a I con do 2lt Thengs, 2上a PONT - BE CONFIDENT Phil y y i 13 b . themph Chint who strempthens me. - BUT CHRIST First
(3)" We peach inat muselves but chist as bodd, with anvelves as you ser vants. In Jeeno' sake. So darit fint study-Preach Christ, - by und and deed be a servant कo others a unt nen for Chunt.

It isit delrays eas $y$ t he an internationd studeat.
Bat the unit be a sermon. I've been asked to opeak as an internetiual student nysseif. I was bour in what is und Nath Koee - but I ment $t$ fint grade in Americe.

How and - "Lirk at all thore frengiers!" We had to lear kIne in two uneds - And the fint lesson we learned was that it unldant be easy.

Fuit qrede in San Rafoel, Calif.
We shued have knom that it couldn it be eany. We hed heand all abmes what hepponed when my fatter, an American, went is Incen - That was 112 years apo, in 1890.

Father- thann out +1 lover; shine unsmip
Sam Chine

Repvice - hat ouly in Chirt, wat yous seq
Be cintent.
Io all thinj:
And ypu will newer be permonitly dis comesed - cejoirce-

Diet. $45.65 \%$ cambohadrater, 25\% a lesu added sypm, 20.35\% fats, $10.35 \%$ putcuik.

I have been asked to tell what I know about the blazing romance of Finer and Ella Ruth Kilbourne．Now I wasn＇t there at the time，and I may have a few details wrong－but I know them so well I can reconstruct the whole thing out of the past like a genuine Itaewon original authentic reproduction Korean chest．

Elmer and Ella Ruth，Methodists though they were，met by Presbyterian predestination．It was an engagement made in heaven－though I am told that the angels had some doubts about Elmer．Ella Ruth should have seen through him， but love is blind．

They met in Mississippi，under a magnolia tree in the old South．Elmer was broke，he had pawned his last tennis racket－and the Health and Fitness Shop which he had just opened：had gone brokeupt．It was called the Dark Snatch Center；a name that could have been improved－maybe Bark Scratch would have caught on better．But perhaps the trouble was with the courses he advertised： They were flops．One was called：

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Another was } & \text { "Tap Dance Your Way to Regularity" } \\
\text { "Creative Tooth Decay" } \\
\text { Then there was } & \text { "Exorcism and Acne" } \\
\text { And } & \text { "How to Avoid Protein Complementarity" }
\end{array}
$$

He had a sideline which was also not doing so well：a Business and Career Advice office which specialized in：
＂Career Opportunities in Iran＂
＂Tax Shelters for Indigent Missionaries＂
But across the main street fight there in Tallahoochie，a rising young business executive and popular leader of the Deep South Women＇s Lib Coalition， and Save Hominy Grits from Extinction Caucus，Ms．Ella Ruth Lott had a thriving （You＇ve heard of Lot＇s wife－well，in Tallahoochie Elmer is known as＂Lott＇s husband）．Ella Ruth had a thriving new business going．It was a Home Economics and Self－Improvement studio．Unlike Elmer＇s courses which were sure failures，hers had mass appeal．Like
＂Sinus Drainage at Home＂
＂How to Convert a Wheelchair into a Dune Buggy＂
＂Dealing with post Self－Realization Depression＂
＂How to Cultivate flu Virus in your Refrigerator＂
Oh，the mystery of love！Success and failure．How opposites attract！Who could have guessed that when Elmer proposed Ella Ruth would accept．That she would even get his tennis racket out of hock．（He still has it，though his game has not improved with the years）．He took down the sianboard，＂Career Opportunities in Iran＂and put up the notice instead：＂Gone to China＂．They went．Came back， crossed out＂China＂，and put in＂Korea＂．

And now a whole new life has opened up to them．They call their business ＂O．M．S：（Opportunities for Mouldy Saints）．Some of the course－offerinas they＇ve tried most recently are：
＂Looter＇s Guide to Yonadung－po＂
＂Under－achiever＇s Guide to Very Small Business Opportunities＂
and a Valentine－Day
special，＂Mail order Matchmaking for Missionaries＂
And they live happily ever after．A marriage made in heaven．But the angels still have their doubts about Elmer．
（Research paper by Sam Moffett）


Wha! Thes vod inime o Me
Tro curtar questions.

1) Whet do yiu tinik ford?
2) What deses Gol think 1 me?
 Answered in Polu 3:1-15.

Finst 111 decticied conversationo 7 íams veconded oy potme
Note frit its place in the etruiture a the poriel: -

1. No matter how good you are, ym are not good eungh.
2. Yn must be completity changed - a new fersm.
3. Yor canit do this cheqrin. God does it.
|LK. 7: 30- The Thavsies repured beptoon o zoher
i. Nicodemm was youd-
4. Necenity, the new buith.
A. 1) Not at $\&$ selene in murele

2 . .. .. arlumblele tits mimion
B. We mat livi near lyi.

235-Brecthe on Ki heatily fod
198. Whem I Smexey
215. Jem Am Jom i taniry teats
209. Thine Is the flay
tos- Ming Gems I hue thee
Furlough - 65
I. It's hard unk.
"Houe anyenment" not lessuch fiambly sisits. And stretchad men a whok contminent if suppontuy clunches.
Text: Rom. 1:13-" I wourt yon ts kum brettmen Het I have pton intended to crue to you (but thuss for have been prevented)."
ciscint intensified by $22^{\text {B }}$ c. phenomene: aerodynames, ecumenicty.
Not computathy liealized \&o Prash, in me aree - but assoped to 6 denommetions in the "adjouming" states 7 Perna. Caly. fullach is an exhanstip year. Boxt n vacation slunld be mandaton.
II. It's stumuláting + reperhing.
a. Oh the jin 7 ankemp in Enplisin!

But adyustiments necessay (1) "Treorme in cartun wenels"- Korean poitemy"
(2) Smp in Enchost! "Whats Endlin?
b. Food to be able to van a gord and of minuor. Recmutipp: font harder than last furtse. Fruepn musions ss not "in". On canpus, the bury wne is cinl riplits (Skip in joil).
But some bigit spots (1) internshys at Fulle, Columbic (Prand, fonut
(2) Unbane - 7,0ro jory fugle.
c. After Ineeis proilems, Anerice's ecclervistical + Thological cors-courents are exatimp. Cin rights not ouly buruip msic. at 9 A the bupert excitement: Confesion of $1967^{\circ}$. At we pout, It was mepored to speak apainst it. But let me put is in this and- d's umpntant i leep in bolance.
I have to remind unself. (1) chanpe is not bed
(2) relevance is intafly reeded
(3) recounatation is Bublical
(4) ethis I goved needs suelling int

Quen when I have vecoprizad that am rivere to lambeste the document In itsowe wided Chut olopya (z) its weak dotsini q Saiptine - "normatine" is pon sidi Bleche., $-l$ its imapient universalusion.
(1ii) tulfis is a nomalizer.
a) It rensled we that R保e ss not the cols musion field in the medd - N.Y. news pepars.
b) If resiched me that you pegle here set almp wittat me entivich tor well.
c) And it rented we apoin that Im stel font $y$ the amencian cher, $d$ that its the home choch
IV. Best $q$ all: fualsh is onh tengenany.

Im matis a Plideppuin there darp - a good wese to end frels witt. Plid. 3:13,14.

Some Umin Chanu.











 the lyestion thice fo sememes on semendery at $4,-1$ he graed. A1 fint they




In 1888 the chath mad to tre Rughtion Miron fuat hane, wen





 thay wee attined \& wee the ladme chich beel fo the schent on week-daye-

It wisa a greit belp - beypt firma Buddhis temple for A/2.50, al weypoi 150 pounds.

In 1892 the him of senu was chred form 11 am t 4 p.im. So thet the iminiminues coned atted Kiean cht is the

 Hat year - Apenzelle, Bumla, Crifnad, G Hbee Grow, tapet), at thluryis -
 uffers. the at funt they had thed $t$ hime Epmeipal sewices on alturnatie Suiving.?

Cin 18i5, when win S Piubs. the Bell, a arieil, they with home


 we will do." But the clh mared to chersine cwen a Baplest commeminn -

Un 1911 it sithed it fust full-tine puster - the Ree allen F. DeCamp, who semed for suxtion yeer.

Kos Climy Ing Math.
14.7 Yuha.

1419 Puism
1924 Ses inst-Tim thun.
(2)
if $L$ a flyom chus.

IUHCATON
Wherton means so man theis hupp memoner
a contiri fellnozhps
a got ditonchallius te been to idncion
a gunde fol lik

I Hapens memain - Thus is what bock sncaler on on yon when yo cime
 the detaits but then dw stong with yon:
(1) Doc Straw 1 hom sibelint insitere on instant pe-fection in clan cimpontions, Deam


(3) Tenmis and socier, $A$ Touse bells, AA Anous, it the liate old fyrm Im glat fi-the memones, bat Im glad that wheation is unve than momonis. it ac
II. ACintmen Fellowship H neves ciazes to cimize we how uned-wike the whation famiky
 A goes to the inusion fied with gom, of you fod $t$ wherever gor go m the undel.


 conneturn

III Whétm ns ceso a challeye to educetom, to a ginde in lype.
t was shay ing Guek - 26 im - that
 Syimes fir a mithes whise mastris thens ins "Con the use وthe Casure in Citillus" My futher was


Im happ that $t$ it that Ine neve had to apologige fir the acadomi theng whactin gane mes. Iim even muse

 $\rightarrow$ priperins can be womp, -1 I munt do some thinking for myself.


668-5078 Boardma

311 E. Kamblin
8 3

Is the last 13 months-conered the uned-from Klimampaw, in the hent

 form Constantrople to Clucipo; from ancent Epheons ot modem Edmbuph.
i tochud fun contments Asia. Aprue, Lmipe A North Anverice. Bnt just At $x$ I 1 enad tioo thmp abnit the uned etood ont and coupht $-\lambda$ hald my ene as I whinked arnd to smpace so seuciely $d$ to buiphy. Imst the barmeis. Secind, the bridees.

The basmis the separituos, the divisuns, the polanzitions are lmich (1) Brea the mot abrions. (1) Gea They appeared even as we begon teane Kumpo - almot slippi acerm the live ints Mbidder N. Kive.
(2) They are all thirs Aprice. Not put the natimal divisums Hot These we san as som as we reached Kamp - ine 7


 in eech entr. Sien ume striking - the banien betwi haw $A$ Md Aprice. Sypmbol-

(3) Then we re-antried Asin - and I was remeled 7 $a$ very omment, but still postent dinsimi - the basmer betu. S. + West.
(4) Sart farmines $q$ all. the Belim Wall.
(5) Indeh-i relared. Tewer divisions, I thot. Delyibital melevancies
(1) Cort Crmuell.
(2) Lrasmms lives - not divisun.
(3) Bnt pavaizast by pestal, dec. Ina statio
 Berbeles Ciluchi luit>T.

Pulies - Afuce - the che ( $50,00,000$ iviriss.)
EMA - the school (galthic Trpet, Inch 6).
US. it more Ritam.

The Amsincon Chuit which ore? Jm Charis Tom?

The first herd fact to report about the church in America is a dark one: a sense of discouragement, a failure of nerve that has almost paralyzed American Protestantism. "America," said a British visitor, "have been overtaken by a fit of convulsive pessimism." (i. "inter, 2j..Years)
frankly
Tell, American Christians have a pood deal to be pessimistic about. Let me mention a few:

1. A disastrous deline in church siving. Episcopalians in one year gave $\$ 60,000,000$ less than the year before. (1969. L. Kinsolving, Hon.Adv. Sep 1812 R ) and there is "an institutional death wish in the Episcopal air", ssys Time Nag.
2. That death could be by civil war as easily by loss of support. Highly-charged separatist movements are breaking out in almost all the major denominations, and the most frequently-used word in Americen church circles this year was "polerization"--clergy from laity, activists from evangelists, headquarters from conoregitions.
3. The most commented-upon reason for all this is the rise of a new phenomenon in the church, "liberal fundamentalism", or "narrow-mindedness of the ecclesisstical left", on intense emotional attachment to a narrowly splective circle of issues, as fundamentalists were once intensely and emotionslly attached to a hiphly selective circle of dommas. The maddening thing is that just as the old fundamentists were ofe so of ten right about their doctrines, the new ones are often, but not always, rioht about their issues. He arrived in America just in time for the Angela Davis case, where a proper concern for black justice was completely side-tracked by an irrational unconcegn for facts or consequences--and the result was the dynamiting of the Preshyterian church as it had not been devided for years.

Eut this is communion Sundey. One reason I need to come to the Lord's Table reoularly is to be reminded that there hate been dark days in the church before. "On the night in which He was betrayed, oix iord took bread.." Betrayal and death and defeat. But the Last Supper is not the Last of the Church of Jesus Christ. He rose arain, and the church ov $\rightarrow$ and over áain miraculously survives.

 the inche is ot had not been durized fo thants zeens

 have been dark day in the che befre - batianal of doath of enfect But the "lest suppe" i not the "last'-7 the cla al grom Chat.
 ininaculons prones 7 surrival.

I It Epasopichains an Cinenie who were not monni, becese then were bo m pores, lant regnci toot they had fol the
 oth. If fot thitial Xm-mue on ypmite sides o the face, promized


 but wint xt .

Ifly fuple who had been leaj the chl a drmencoming bace, lony havi, beeds to all. The gronets the that them baptized at leque Bide - a don I haped \$ be in In Recles I cont an I midentad them - but I wait theeep the dons ptteche per tikn Appe at Suceas Coxf.

The only man who aqued completely in th eventtimp I send yesterday，I ford at later，was sitting in the bock now，and conldin＇t hear what I said．

Io all look deceptively chase from op hims， 11 I then speakers unconscrinsion lower then uncés so let me kano when I fade ant

And dint get a hump up，when I mate mot aches are such let me repeat－This int sough formal Bible exprotion，that In dorp．Id much rachis call it＂Wizhmp sessions in th the Bible．＂

I．The fins step in norkmy in th the Bible yore got to cha line anat

 the talkmp：I and nit ash what of pan the pions．yon that it was saymi，which man not be cintions，but its a step m Bible stun that yon by pass only to yous un lass．
II Now tolan，the seine step．Check what you thin the Bible sap， af what it saps $t$ yo，in th what it sap $\&$ simone dele．
 right，and will stretch rather tion－tian down－I jon get cat dom to form and too hand－sifter yonve fond simithmy in the bible that peens $t$ be just the thin yon need－yo dunt want ts be toed to bluntly 1 tor harshly that yon e a complete fore ad yod better lean to read belie yo reed the Ruble．

So In not gory to tain venite as limp tartan．And Ire ashed tore purple to look at the same Bible sectuxi－I chide＇ give them－nuch warnuy－and I ashed them nat so mol t correct me－that con come later－the second step is to tact breading． Not what chad I sec ump－bent what other the does the pane means to simone she．It alsothay is demented different warp of way the bribe．

CHURCH BUILDING



 cinpupaininn is sitally impentont_ not juit for yom, hat fr us too.

I have heard fugle gromble alnot new die hildis

 sam $A^{W}$ din't fed that way int here nirine the Howse 7 the mone yor in 4 need a need. prode beantry, a fit home for God in gendele, A A There is no mision mitunt
 $a$ ctimp, twe locel convertion. $n$ a minn mid pieping vill $x$ He centen $d$ we see to gio - Din't let the center withes away, Wr bent-d $X_{n o}$ whe are nol fertitind to then hime chec
 comuke in Brible it was not Jenu, bat Gudiss, who woute thed to wee the local budedit, ind spent it on the pom. Ad groms retmind hom

So get $t \frac{1}{c}$ the whe ot biuld you ch h, ofl we will rejnici isth yom. To we kan to Hat a "Chates wh are farttpy \& then local nesposinite are the ones who ore al mint faitfinf al the the conds $g$ the conth
(The Chisitian mision stants ingt whe yon are in the undell if 7 an bield campeasi. Thats where it stetr but thet's itst where at and. So get a grool plact, al lond Wen yu - at Get gam buidiy ny and then lcep roedi int, as ar alwar hove a - on the inare it chist an lnd -1 sanme - to Knues.

A conperince - © very omall idec sunouded by divie amont at trik.
OPENING REVIFW.
Naisobi Aup. 1970
Consultation on Thest Eduction.
It is hy propire to (1) rifush memovies by summaniziny the beckerout paras.
(2) note a fow aquements $d$ des iquecments.
(3) Toss up for gaabs some of the sturintatip questions noused
I. Tunt, "brif look at the 8 back ground papeis, " "brif stanter-papais fn dssiussin," as they have been called.
A. The auttins are dutingmised, od the vainity of then beckepords is a promise of breetth as well on depth:-

Twoo are Africans, and anothar ressdent in Africa. Que furm Asvis. One lurim Lation Anvervicei.


All $f$ them come with dueet moolvement and deep, shared cincem fre thed ed.
$B$. The papers, in nouder are -

1. D. Cason, A TEF Indon deabs inta "An Evaluation Thestogici EAhnatuin in

Alvice Today", chch, which, as he ponits ant, is handicapped meithes "h "the munits
 thesi el. are De Differinces of piamin on the relationshap q cinfersmation to Theolofical copquation, (2) The gustion of the malue 4 Univessty connections to Thedocicil education - can we affend to than academicions who un't be pastins.

 educetom. (4) Amalh - m punsint $q$ a depinstivi of thestopical educetion, he asks fir o balance betwein the acodenvic of the vocetival, betwein thei spintual and the propinetic.
\# 2 Prop Philpet, 7 st. Pauls United Thed College in Kenga, evalnet. thestogivel oducation nont Aprice form intinim the contment, and in the perspecture $q$ persous survery in 1963-1 1969. He sees hipefal sapno of impnoed caliber $f$ students, bettes ecuminical conperation, and more men in traming- lat nstes that no E. Apucan country hes mone than tin men possesump a Theolopial depaes. Ite calls for moe Apicen tecches, and pleads If potiondiantion traming ti keep the nonstory mace inth in Afuce constantt charying at riolutionem speeds. The provocitiue wainny is inchided - pranted the Aluium Schols unit raine aciderinc stoundards, he serp, lut deny so will not necosaink maki thim move nelevant - it res only mokes them unve Luertern!
\#3 Thim Eart Alvicie alse comes thind papa-D. Golm Mbiti o Makerere Universton

 the Alvicin retifinios soil. $A$ yet is where yon feople are growne, onl where thin iunt lulfellmint, if Xt mdeed came not $t$ destorn, hat $t /$ milh. Drit dostry At the relif cim wholenens is Alrican Lifi with the W'est's cumptimy clearefe of seculan and saced, let the sucinter with Alnican relyims produce an Aprican, In won-colomil thioloy. Rat in and thesf it all, nimimbes the ates diminssin of the gnpel-joilymint "The groted shumed cime into om rich Alvican relycoits," Le sayp, to turn it upside doun, and if it faits in so ctoip, it inn have failed Aprica"."
\#5. Let me take \#5, aurthen peyper from Aprice - bepre we tion to perspectures from othe contments. It is Prop. Sä̈ta of Ghanis Commente on the Aprican situatun:
 instunction in bith diminusp public pchorts - this unnt be liept sumple and Alvian, he sayp. (2) the secind-traming of the lacty as full-timi chuch wenkers-twis is indispamable, he sams. for a chuch with as few mumiters as Afuce, - and this to must be lecpt thoologieilly sumple, and seculariy relevent, for the liold is whis the lapwan live,
 conted in lif, wot acedemics, but meeds the acadomic desaphis of the mind whid
 Apican mid tonn between the Apint-filed Aprcim uned view of the skeptical suentrini unld-riew of the wort.

Now to there irices form ad abut Afpice, are added insights from othe cintiments
\#Y. Latin America, speakiy thigh 2. Alves of Prazil, spech y functini and structure, hum a socroboncial, not a therlogical penpectari. Seminanes, he sump, as una ofructired, are to linited to the preservin 7 the chuch structure, rothis than the seech for theolosicial tin th in the semice o the wned. Reryanization for better dnection is impmille - Hay are contorties by
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## YOUR WORLD IS TOO SMALL

One of the most memorable commencement addresses I ever heard was in a little junior high school out in the hills of rural Korea. The principal, a dignified village elder, stood up to exhort the graduates, and perhaps in deference to my presence as an American in their midst, he told them, "Boys, I want you always to follow the example of Christopher Columbus, first president of the United States:"
hitacally
In somewhat the same dubious tradition, I am about to exhort you to follow the example of my favorite disciple among the twelve, who was, I regret to say a a The dauber, foot-dragger, and, if tradition an embezzler=but still for he was Thomas, the Aport can be believed, embezzlermbut still my favorite, let me
and not even necessarily as apostle (which means misscinany), thanh I med not le donappotes I want you to follow nim What I like about him, and what I hope will
commend him to you, is that though he started out as a man whose world
was too small, he found the grace, finally, to see it large, as it really
 is. for a small-world ministry. Don't



Thomas started as a doubter. the familiar story otis quspel tells how homes 13 Jensen. When when e missed the first resurrection appearance, and others told him about it, hers "Idon't believe it". His world was too small. His world of belief. It was big enough for a human Jesus, b ut too small for a Risen Lord.
I thunk,
le are all of us, at times, followers of Thomas the doubter. You would be very unusual seminary students, and this would be a most unusual seminary, if you are graduating today with no more doubts [shadsu:ng]
denitsmill
shadowing your Christian faith. Doubts will come, and qo. Bon't
glamourize them; and don't cling to them. Academic circles tend to idealize doubt as a sign of intellectual maturity, In the ministry, doubt is more often a mark of spiritual impotence. It was not doubt that

Thomas
made the father of eastern Christianity. It was his faith. He broke Lithe
through out of the tight, paralyzing world of his very human doubts into and larger
God's large, real world of faith and resurfection power and ac-
servant in the hands and side of the risen
tion. He saw the marks of the suffering
 changed his life. "My Lord and my God". Your would will always be too small if yom Christ is tor smal But perheps lin this new way his may hurest fint,
$\aleph$ Then Thomas turned to Jesus his hid expected, like some
Christians, to be drawn up immediately with into heaven. If so he was som
disappointed. He turned to Jesus, and Jesus turned him right back into the
Instead a takmp him to heaven
world. Ke sent him to Msia. And once again Thomas's world was too small. lie draqged his feet. There is a delightfully aoocryphal but bery early document, probably written about 200 AD in what became the mother church of Asian Christianity, Edessa. It is called the Acts of Thomas, and purThomas beyond the record Ieft us in the New Testament. ports to tell what happened to him $\Lambda$ 年 pf Actant.

The story opens with the eleven disciples gathered in Jerusalem after the Ascension to try to decide how to obey the Lord's last command, "Go into all the world and preach the gospel." Sensibly, they begon b"y dividng the world up into eleven parts, one for each, and then, as theiem custom was, they cast lots for the assignments. India fell to Thomas. Now

Thomas had turned to Jesus in faith, but he was still Thomas, and he said,
I can't

```
"I won't go"... Heaged trave] that far". [Then,?
```

Then, thinking of a better excuse, he added, "Besides, I don't speak Indian".

The disciples argued and prayed he was stubbonn, so they And the Siviour appeared to Thomas in a vision=remember, this is apocryphal-and said, "Go to India, Thomas, for my grace is with thee". But Thomas dug in his heels and said, "Anywhere else, Lord, but I'm not going to India." So the Lord, who knew his Thomas, took sterner measures. An agent of the Indian king, Gundaphar, happened to be in Jerusalem at the time looking for a carpenter to build a palace for the king. Now in Asian tradition Thomas "the Twin" was the twin brother of Jeaus, and therefore a carnenter. So the Lord simply sold Thomas to the Indian merchant. "I have alave who is a have a slave who is a carpenter," he said, which was quite true. Thomas, he told he like Paul, was a slave of Jesus Christ. But when Thomas what had done, Thomas
was speechless. All niaht and into the next day he wrestled
bring himself to "All mint, I'll qu."
with himself before he could say, and losus wil He was not quite kicking and screaming, it was only with considerable foot-dragging that the first missionary to Asia was carried in no great triumph to his mission, a slave. Thomas*s world was big enough for preaching in Jerusalem, but too small for a mission to India. He is not alime in that Hw Nim I have heud pegle say, "Don.t we have ennegh prothens 1 an om vifut hue is Amence, so why do yon Wue $\hbar 5$ go mes there $\hbar$ Asm,
Dis he lemin ilear mor Them $\rightarrow$ If your world leaves out India, or any other of the "uttermost parts" from Africa to the islands of the sea,-it is too small too. It is also too small if it leaves out Jerusalem, which is something we overseas missions enthasiasts nedd to be reminded of. Some years ago I was thrown into an international working aroup on "The missionary obligation of the church". It was an unsettling experience. They wanted to know thy I should be labelled [amissimemy
a missionary any more than the man sitting next to me, who happened to be a Christian and a professor in a state university, as if by not going to Tibet he had somehow miserably failed to answer God's call. Theyneedled me, wimp wat linze, "To be a Christian carnenter is good; to be a Christian minister is holy; to be a foreign missionary is holier-than-thou". Now They were absolutely right in insisting that the whole world is the mission field. But when they added, "And every Christian is a missionary", I drew back. It's a great phrase, and partly true. But I canoot help wondering if this isn't just one more way of making comfortable church people feel all the more comfortable at what they are already doing, whereas the first thrust of the gospel is to make us uncomfortable because of what we are not doing. It's all very well to tell the Christian carpenter that that is what he is called to be-- it is probably true--but then how are you going to explain to him why the first Christian carpenter left his carDenter's bench and started out from Nazareth on the long missionary road that led to a cross? Every Christian is a witness, but not at all are called to be ministas and not all are calced to be missionaries, as Paul sensibly realized. "Are all apostles? are all prophets7 are all teachers?" Mo.

In somewhat the same way, although the whole world is the mission actvally
field, and the nearest parts are often more difficult and always less glamourous than fields farther away, nevertheless, without ignoring Jerusalem, and Ue, , Cohnum. the Judaea and Samaria, don't forget "the uttermost parts". They are more they are neglected; and in greater need. Choose almost any category you want--food, freedom, factories, the Christian faith--and you here in the United States have squirreled aray more than your fair share.

Only $6 \%$ of the world lives in North America. $57 \%$, which is more than half of all the people of the :rorld live in Asia. Three-fifths of the world's teen-agers live there, and that means that three=fifths of the [wnelis futme?
yorld's future is Asian. But most of them live in households with a cash income of less than $\$ 7$ a head a week. (It is even worse when youl) compare the fairness of distribution of the Christian faith. Nur American $6 \%$, of the world has the largest number of professing Christians of any country in the
 world, while overcrowded Asia with more than ten times the numbe of people (2 billion, to our 200 million) is only $2 \%$ or $3 \%$ Christian. Yet we in America have more ordained Protestant ministers than all the other countries of the vorld combined: and each year we share fewer and fewer of them (we United Presbyterians, at least) with the rest of the world. He are down from 1082 overseas workers in 1966 to 402 in 1976, and of these onty 29 were under 40 years old.

No matter how you rationalize it, there is something radically wrong there. Your world is not only ton small, it is qetting smaller. Either we break out into the world again for Christ, or we are boing to he I am encounped swallowed uo by our own greed and isolation. What an exciting thing it is to find that this seminary, at least, is doinq something about it, ane reaching out in an American-Asian approach to a Pacific Basin Theological lletwork, out to where some $50 n$ theological schools are growing and spreading in a great arc along the rim of Asia from Japan to India. But is consultation and dialogue enough? We still need people. And the churches
 there are asking for neople, working martners, $v$ Some of you, I hope, will give yourselves, not slaves like Thomas untillingly dragged, but slaves of Jesus Christ just the same, freely and joyfully obedient for service anywhereBecause it's all the Master's world--"Jerusalem, Judaea, Samaraia and away to the ends of the earth".

Let me make one final point. Even if you do go to the uttermost
say that accord it his recent pol y relpion in America, "S in 10 [Americans] son then are Cluitcion, but only half that number know who delved the Sermon on the Manat. Must Americans thank the Ten commadit are valid mules of living, bat many hove a tush time recalling exactly what those mules are. Amoy teen-ayes, 3 in 10 do not know the siginficance of Easts in Curtain, [and What was the mist devantatis fact $f$ all to he as a kn monte. I among teenapes who attend choc [still, 2 int of 10 do not know [the meanmp y Easter]:. Ahwifn teenager [in general of the greatest fersoss in huston, gems ranks fifth". Thesis no suretion aha it, you mold as a Chits minute is ter small y' - it leaves ont the United States.

But.

But the lesson I learn from Thomas is that if my world is 1 imited to my own home city, or my own country, my world is too small. Like Thomas's world. He wanted to stay home, in Jerusalem. Asia was too far away. Our world too can be too small. We live in Asia, but so much of our vision in Christian mission is concerned only with our home, Korea, and the rest of Asia is too far away. But Jesus still commands "Go ye into all the world". Most of us naturally will stay here in Korea. This is part of our Christian world mission, but don't let your missionary vision stay small. It is the whole world that needs the good news of God's tha uned saving love in Jesus Christ, and most of Asia is in far more desperate Flouda need, both materially and spiritually, than Korea.

In Christian terms as well as in economic terms modern Korea is a developed nation, not undeveloped. It is one of the bright spots on the continent of Asia which holds $60 \%$ of all the people in the world. Its development, physically, has been called an economic miracle. In 1975 the percapita income of Korea was 275,000 won a year ( $\$ 550$ ). By 1978, only three short years later, it had shot up to 620,000 won (\$1242)-more than twice as much as it had been in 1975. Korea is now one of the richest countries in Asia.

But so much of Asia is still desperately poor. In January Mrs. Moffett and I were asked to spend ten days in Nepal, the little mountain kingdom between India and Tibet, to speak at the 25th anniversary of the opening of Protestant work there. In land area Nepal is $1 \frac{1}{2}$ times as big as South Korea, but has only about a third as many people. Going from Korea to Nepal I was shocked at the poverty I found there. They say that Nepal is the second poorest country in the whole world, even poorer than Bangladesh. One half of all the babies born in Nepal die before they are five years old. The average Nepali will only live to be 45; Koreans now expect to live to 68. Nepal has no TV sets, and only 43 kilometers of railroad.

An Improbable Model: Thomas, \#3 John 11:11-16; 14:1-5; 20:24-29

One of the most memorable commencement addresses I ever heard was in a little junior high school out in the hills of rural Korea. The principal, a dignified village elder, stood up to exhort the graduates, and perhaps in deference to my presence as an American, he told them, "Boys, I want you always to follow the example of Christopher Columbus, the first president of the United States".

In somewhat the same reckless manner I am going to exhort you to follow the example of St. Thomas who was, as we all know, a doubter. To make matters worse, I am going to borrow from an old tradition which treats history as loosely as the village elder handled Columbus and makes Thomas not only a doubter, but also a pessimist, a foot-dragger and an embezzler.

That, as a model for the ministry, is a little hard to defend. I probably should have chosen John, so much more pious, or Peter the Rock, or for a good dose of praxis theology, James the letter writer. Not Thomas. And yet the picture of Thomas that comes through to me out of a combination of the Bible record, and thess reliable source, tradition, is a strangely moving picture of a real disciple, wrestling with real doubts and a real call to ministry in an all too real and troubled world.

Let me begin with the tradition. It is very old. It traces back 1750 years to a document written perhaps as early as 200 AD, called the Acts of Thomas. It may not be reliable as history, but as a legend, and taken as a third century Christian parable, it still has something to teach us about ministry in the 20 th century.

For one thing, it teaches compassion, and a ministry without compassion is no ministry of Jesus Christ. The story goes that when Thomas finally reached India he came as a carpenter and servant of the Indian King, Gundaphar, and was sent down country to build a palace for the king. But as he looked about he saw more poor people and more
hungry people than he had ever seen in his life before. He forgot the king's orders, he forgot his own career, and moved with compassion he began to dip into the construction funds which he had been given in order to buy food to feed the poor.

Needless to say he found himself in deep trouble. A royal inspector came; the funds were gone; and the palace had not been built. Thomas was thrown into prison as an embzezzler, to be executed in the morning. At this point the author's imagination runs a little wild. Tht night the king's brother died. His soul was caught up into the abode of the dead. On the way he saw a great mansion. "Whose is that?" he asks. "That", said the angels is a palace being built in heaven for King Gundaphar by a slave named Thomas." "But my brother is goind to execute him," the prince says. "I must stop him". And though it was not the usual procedure, the angels let him appear to the King in a vision, and he tells his brother, "Don't kill the Jewish slave. He really is building you a palace, not a wooden one but an eternal one in heaven". So Thomas is brought out of prison to explain the vision, and stands up to preach the gospel to the King. The story ends happily and romantically with the king believing and all his people with him.

Now don't get carried away. I told you the story is apocryphal. Especially the embezzlement, and almost certainly the conversion of the kingdom. That is no model for the ministry: instant success and dubious means. The end doesn't justify the means and sentiment is no substitute for integrity. But as a lesson in Christian compassion and advocacy for the poor it makes its point. Yours won't be much of a ministry if you are so busy obeying the mighty that you neglect the poor, or if you feed yourself and forget the hungry. There is hunger here in America, but remember that what America consumes every year as it overfeeds its own two hundred million people, in Asia would be an adequate diet for almost eight times that many, one billion five hundred million.

So I choose Thomas as a model of compassion. A flawed model. Compassion doesn't excuse the theft. But that's the trouble with

Thomas. Every time he learns one lesson, he forgets another. He learned compassion. He forgot obedience. He should have remembered how he got to India in the first place. According to the legend it was not out of compassion but by obedience, and a very reluctant obedience at that.

In the opening chapter of the Acts of Thomas, the eleven disciples in Jerusalem are trying to decide how to obey the Lord's last command, "Go ye into all the world and preach the gospel." Sensibly, they began by dividing up thke world into eleven parts, one for each disciple. Then, as their custom was, they cast lots for the assignments. India fell to Thomas. And Thomas, being Thomas, said, "I won't go.." (if you will allow me to paraphrase) "I can't travel that far." Then, thinking of a better excuse, he added, "Besides, I don't speak Indian." Even when Jesus appeared to him in a vision and said, "Go to India..", Thomas dug in his heels and refused to go. "Anywhere else, Lord," he said, "but I'm not going to India."

A call without obedience is no more a Christian ministry than a call without compassion. The point is not India. The point is that when the Lord made clear what he wanted Thomas to do, he wouldn't do it. The lesson would be the same if the Lord's call had been to Jerusalem.

Some years ago I was thrown into an international working group on "The Missionary Obligation of the Church". It was an unsettling experience. I was a missionary in Korea then, and they wanted to know why I should be labelled a missionary and not the man sitting next to me who happened to be a Christian and a professor in a state university, as if by not going to Tibet he had somehow miserably failed to answer God's call. They needled me with remarks like, "To be a Christian carpenter is good; to be a Christian minister is holy; to be a foreign missionary is holier than thou".

I could, argue that there are functional differences between a lay calling, and the ministry, and missionary service, but they were absolutely right in insisting that the whole world, not just the third
world, is a waiting field for Christian service. Where in the world that ministry will be is another matter. It becomes clear in various ways, but only after the question of obedience is settled.

But obedience did not come easily to Thomas, and according to this strange tradition I've been following, the Lord finally had to take his reluctant disciple in hand, and sell him as a slave to an Indian agent who had come looking for a carpenter to build a palace for King Gundaphar. That shocked me when I first read it, until I realized it is not as far from the thought of the New Testament as it seems. Apostles, like Paul, frequently called themselves "the slaves of Jesus Christ". But it was still a shock to Thomas, and when Jesus told him what he had done, Thomas wrestled all night with himself before he could finally bring himself to say, "Not my will but Thine be done". So Thomas was taken off to India, a slave and a most reluctant missionary. struggle with himself, finally said, "Not my will, but Thine". Strangely enough, it is often the reluctant disciples who make the best ministers.

They come to the decision haro, but once they decide to follow the Master, they obey. If it is to be India, it's India; if closer to home, then that is all right too. The nearer points are often more difficult, always less glamorous, and, these days, sometimes quite as untouched by Christian faith as are the traditional "unreached fields". In a talk here in Princeton a few weeks ago George Gallup mentioned some surprising facts that turned up in one of his polls on religion in America. "8 in 10 [Americans] say they are Christians but only half that number know who delivered the Sermon on the Mount. Most Americans think the Ten Commandments are valid rules for living, but many have a tough time recalling exactly what those rules are.. And for [American] teenagers, of the greatest persons in history, Jesus ranks [a poor] fifth."

But some, like Thomas, need to be pushed to "the uttermost, parts of the earth". In sheer, mass statistics, that is still where the/ weight of the world's hunger, poverty, fear, despair, both physical and

> thre a stell the nhile menes, and

But some of us, like Thomas, need to be pushed out to "the uttermost parts of the earth". In sheer, mass statistics, that is still where the weight of the world's need $\$ 1 i e^{s}-$ - hunger, poverty, fear, and despair, both physical and spiritual. Choose almost any category you can think of--food, freedom, factories, or the Christian faith--and we here in America have squirreled away more than our fair share. Only 6\% of the world's people live in North America. $60 \%$ live in Asia. Three-fifths of the world's teenagers live there. That means that three-fifths of the world's future lies in Asia. But most of them live in households with a cash income of less than $\$ 7$ a head a week. 6 million of the world's disabled children are in North America; 88 million in Asia. And Asia, with over half of all the people in the world, is the least Christian continent in the world.

But it was not statistics like these that, in the last analysis, made Thomas an apostle and a model for ministry. Nor was it compassion. Not even obedience. It was a convincing experience of faith. He believed; and in believing he was changed.

Thomas started as a doubter. Even in the school of the disciples (while it was in seminary, às it were) he was a doubter. You know the story. "Unless I see in his hands the print of the anils... and place my hands in his side, I will not believe." His model for ministry at that point was big enough to allow him to become the follower of a human Jesus, but too small for a Risen Lord.

We are all of us at times, I think, followers of Thomas the doubter. You would be very unusual seminary students, and this would be a most unusual seminary, if you are all graduating today with no more doubts to shadow your ministry. Doubts will come and doubts will go. But when doubt becomes chronic it takes the fiber out of the ministry. According to the Gallup poll I mentioned, in the churches towhich most of you will go, if they are tyupical American churches, two out of ten of even the church-attending teenagers do not know the significance of Easter for Christians. What then do ministers preach about on Easter? Bunnies? Yes, we will have your doubts, and we need not be ashamed of
them. Doubt is not the opposite of faith. The opposite of faith is rejection, which is a very different thing, as some commentators point out.

But don't swing to the other extreme and glamourize them. Academic circles tend to idealize doubt as a sign of intellectual maturity. It is not. Held too long in the Christian life, doubt is more often a mark of spiritual impotence.

It was not doubt that finally made Thomas the father of eastern Christianity. It was his faith. He broke through out of the tight and paralyzing world of his very human doubts into God's large, real world of faith and resurrection power and Christian action. He saw the marks of the suffering servant in the hands and side of the risen Lord, and he turned to Jesus with a cry of repentance and confession that changed his life. "My Lord and my God". That cry changed more than his ministry. It changed Thomas.

Not all at once. There was a lot of the old Thomas still in him. He still had to struggle on, from faith to obedience, and from obedience to compassion. The ministry is a growing process. And as a model for growth, you will not go far wrong if you take seriously the example of that stubborn old disciple, the Apostle to Asia. Thomas learned the hard way, but he learned. He learned that a ministry that preaches in Jerusalem but has no concern for India, is too small. He learned that a ministry to India that forgets the poor is too small. He learned that a ministry which fills the earth with food but gives no hope of heaven, is also small. But first of all, he learned that a ministry for Jesus the Man, that does not find in Him also Christ the risen Lord will always be too small. My prayer for you today is that not one of you will leave Princeton for too small a ministry. Remember Thomas.

It cannot be repeated too often that this is a new day for the Church of Jesus Christ. Back in China the Communists used to laugh at us. "You Christians are old fashioned, "they said. It When are you going to wake up to the new day.: But Christzans ace wader Our aty is not the day of the Communist revolution; We have a revolution of our own.

Rowlution-has-come to the-world-nissionof the Ohristian-Ghureh.
we have seen stabbed awave by

Cthers have already described that revolution. On the one side, the scourge of God, the Communists descending like the Assyrians and Huns of old in Judgment on complacent Christendom, havestabbed us awake to some Wid realities of the worid in-which-we-tive and-preach the Cospel. That is the b星ack side of the revolution. But on the other side, there is a glory that breaks through the darkness. It is the glory of the rise of the younger churches. Now, for the first time in history, we Protestants have a world-wide church, a fellowhhip twenty-eight million strong
 a single Protestant church. Henelies-the-hope=of the-nevolufion Thet is the mat sinile fut in the hut. 7 the chit mon tivis.
thuyine (unt in tuan a rifyeat) but
 beckoning challenge and a day of opening doors.

```
And yer,
the hepit 7
```

Nor anyone who really knows world conditions it must seem very presumptaous of - me-to-stand-uphero and, speaking of the world mission of the church, say "The doors are wide open". Sunety Everyone knows that these are the days of the closing of doors. Travellers return from Africa and tell us of the Maurjau and the maysur spreading-rio of racial tensions. "The white man will be out of Africa," they say, "thrown out, in three, four or five years." Not long ago I heard a missionary from India say, "Well we have perhaps ten more years in India." Ten more years to work and then the revolution!

Most people agree that in Japan the days of widest opportunities are past. People, once so eager after the disillusionment of defeat drink in the good news of the forgiving love of God in Jesus Christ; people who a few years ago were storming the platforms at great evangelistic meetings to buy portions of the Scriptures, - these people no longer so open to the Gospel, and national pride is entering in once more disguised as an alternative to the Christian faith.

## it

In Latin America/is Roman Catholic persecution that is closing doors. After centuries of decay the Foman Church is belatedly stirring itself to meet the threat of the full Gospel, and is trying in every consceivable way to hinder the progress of the small but growing Evangelical Churches. Some time agc I received this letter from a friend in Colombia:
"Dear Friends:
Home again and at werk worship this Sunday morning in the Ibague Presbyterian Church..... We are reading responsively from John 'My peace I give unto you. Peace! There is not much of it in Colombia..... (In the pew in front of me sits ous Arturo Gahona concentrating hard on thareading. He has found the peace of Christ. Six months ago a gang of ten national police armed with rifles and machine guns attacked his house near Villavicencio and to the cry of 'Long live the Virgin' and 'Down with the Protestants '' killed three of his sons, two grandchildren and his daughter-in-law.)
"Over on the women's side of the congregation I see Gonzalo Garcia
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Racial tensions, rising nationalisms, political Roman Catholicism, and of course Communism, all these power forces are closing the door on the free proclamation of the Gospel. And there is finally, of course, the echoing horror of the molecule whose tiny powers, unleashed and large, can slam the door in grim conclusion on this whole human race. It-is-a-day of closingdoors
a symbol of it who unlitipecdy.
To me, naturally, China is the symbol of it . Then I went to China in 1947 the doors were wide open. With all the optimism of a new missionary I was convinced that we need only to proclaim the liberating truth of the love of God in Jesus Christ and all China might be saved, mit was not altogether an empty optimismbuk Our mi-sionaries-were-loved-throughout the 1 and; the Church was growing and China's-Christiams-rising to reach their own-country-for Jesus Christ. No-wonder-we-were-optimisbie Dat Frat west in 1947 . In 1948
 an emberslerin was pushed out of that land/which-I had-given-my-life for Ghristand the door slammed shut behind mo. ns.

Some time ago, the China Secretaries of ten or twelve of the Largest foreign mission boards met in New York to review the tragic China situation. During a recess someone asked "How long do you really think it will be before Christian missionaries can return to China?" The others shrugged their shoulders and said "Who knows". But the man persisted and finally they took
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## ＂GIVE US MORE JOHN GLENNS！＂

ROBERT J．LAMONT，Minister
Hirst 椚reshyterian © Thurch 320 Sixth Aqrente独ittshargh，猥ermsglfania

## "GIVE US MORE JOHN GLENNS!"*

"If I ascend up into heaven thou art there." Psalm 139:8
"I am not ashamed of the gospel of Christ." Romans 1:16

Unlike most public speakers, I must confess that I have not always found cab drivers in New York City to be fountains of wisdom or oracles of understanding concerning the complex issues of modern life. I will, however, remember last Tuesday for a long, long time. I entered a cab at Sixty-third and Madison Avenue to make my way downtown to the Chase Manhattan Plaza in the very heart of Wall Street. I wasn't in the cab more than a moment or two when I noticed that on the sun visor the driver had clipped a picture of Colonel John Glenn and had lettered over it "He's My Boy." This inscription amused me, for the driver didn't look like a Presbyterian and yet he was claiming John Glenn as "His Boy."

I soon discovered that the parents
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* Preached by Dr. Robert J. Lamont, Sunday morning. March 11, 1962, and broadcast over Radio Station KDKA.
of the cab driver had come from the Ukraine region of Soviet Russia and as a child he had fled with them to the safety and freedom of the West. There wasn't any doubt about it that Colonel Glenn was a very important man in his life. I was sure from the way he would take off after stopping for a red light that at the very least this driver was really a frustrated astronaut. He drove with fury and with a positively uncanny knowledge of just how much room he needed not to "hit that keyhole in the sky," but to pull into spaces which seemed to me impossible of accommodating a racing cab. Yes, sir! This relatively new American had taken John Glenn into his heart and had taken John Glenn's astounding feat of orbiting the world as a very personal triumph-"He's My Boy."

In what seemed like a very short time, or an eternity, depending on the traffic that was negotiated by the careening cab, I was deposited at the entrance to the Chase National Bank. I had an appointment on behalf of our General Assembly with Mr. George Champion, the President of Chase Manhattan National Bank. There on the seventeenth floor of one of the world's most handsome build-
ings, I was greeted by Mr. Champion. After the reason for my visit had been discussed, Mr. Champion, like everyone else in America, turned the conversation to Colonel John Glenn. "This space experience showed America at her finest to a watching and critical world." Then he went on to say, "I hope we can interpret this achievement as much more than a scientific break-through. Let us tell the world that in John Glenn and his family the real foundation of our way of life can be seen."

I, perhaps less than anyone, am qualified to speak out of first hand knowledge or personal experience concerning the man John Glenn and his love for his family, his country and his God. But like most every one I have met, the naturalness of the man in his love, faith and skillful daring has been like a revival of the very heart that is in each one of us. Since all of the world has come to know Colonel John Glenn, let us exalt in what he is and glory in what he has done and let us pray "God give us more John Glenns."

## I. Humor

America needs a revival of good clean spontaneous humor. When was
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America needs a revival of good clean spontaneous humor. When was
the last time that you looked up from your evening newspaper long enough to really see the faces of the other people crowded into the bus with you? Tired, burdened, anxious eyes scan the headlines for some hint of insight into the seemingly unanswerable problems of our day. It is rare indeed but exhilarating too when merry, relaxed eyes return your glance. We seem to have lost, in part at least, our ability to laugh at ourselves and our world.

Surely the ready smile, the clean, keen sense of humor which seems to have characterized John Glenn, even as a teenager, has won him a refreshing place in our hearts. Who will ever forget his voice echoing through space as he approached Australia on his third orbit around the world, asking that the "brass" be notified that he had put in his four hours of flying time and was entitled to his flight pay for the month?

At the close of one of New York's wildest and most uninhibited ticker tape parades, Colonal Glenn was guest of honor at a tremendous luncheon and reception at the Waldorf Astoria Hotel. Then the rose-clad Lord Mayor of Perth, Australia, Sir Henry Howard, brought greetings. It was he who
had ordered the city's lights turned on to hail Glenn in his flight. The astronaut acknowledged Sir Henry's presence at the reception, thanked him most kindly and quipped, "I was afraid he might have brought the light bill with him." This spontaneous personal gaiety comes only when a man is at ease with himself and his place in God's world. At long last, after being honored in every way in Washington, New York, The United Nations, John and Annie Glenn returned to their home town of New Concord, Ohio, the home of Muskingum College-the alma mater of John Glenn. After a parade which New Concord will never forget, the entire town was invited to ceremonies in the College Gym. The invitations were issued with practical impartiality, two to each family on the local gas meter list. In the Gym better than 1600 people heard the Mayor, the College President and the Governor of Ohio vie with each other in honoring John and Annie Glenn. Glenn learned for the first time that a new high school, a section of the State highway system and even the Gym had been renamed for him. After the happiest time anyone could remember in the newly named "John H. Glenn, Jr.
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Physical Education Building," Glenn told the crowd, with that same touch of humor and humanity which he displayed everywhere he went, "Be sure you clean up my gymnasium real good." How we need to cultivate that ability to break out into spontaneous joy and fun. No one should be possessed of a hearty happy response to life more than Christian Americans who have the joy of the Lord in their hearts.

## II. Faith In God

To express publicly his faith in Almighty God was not something new for John Glenn. As far back as the first press conference in 1959 when the seven Astronauts were presented to America and to the world, John Glenn said, "If I use the talents and capabilities I happen to have been given, to the best of my ability, I think there is a power greater than I am who will certainly see that I am taken care of if I do my part of the bargain."

John Glenn began his dangerous mission with the inner assurance which, with his rigid training, steeled his nerves. He was confident that, when he and the technicians had done their best to honor God and to follow the laws of nature, the finest results
would be forthcoming. His faith never wavered. It had been nurtured from boyhood in a Christian home, it had grown stronger in the Christian Church and in a Christian College, it had proved itself in combat in the South Pacific and Korea.

It was natural then when Senator Alexander Wiley in Washington asked him about his "thought on faith" that Glenn replied without any enbarrassment "I can't say that while in orbit you sit there and pray. It's a very busy time . . my religion is not a fire engine type of religionnot one to be called on in an emergency and then put God back in the woodwork. My peace has been made with my Maker for a number of years, so I had no particular worries along that line."
What a thrilling testimony to come from any man's lips! Like Paul the Apostle, Colonel Glenn "was not ashamed of the gospel of Christ." His faith had indeed come out of a Christian home where the things of Christ were honored. The Evangelical Press Service quoted a minister friend of the Glenns as saying, "There's no doubt about it, John is a born again Christian." This minister went on to say that it was his own father who
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had been used of the Lord to lead John Glenn's father to Christ, many years ago. "And the conversion of the entire family soon followed." There in that family the gracious influences of the Holy Spirit were to be found.

John Glenn's mother said, on the news of his safe pick-up by the Destroyer "Noa," "I am so very very thankful to God for the safety and success of his mission." And it was John H. Glenn, Sr., who expressed his joy with "We're a Christian family and it thrilled us to hear John give testimony of his Christian faith." What an example this family has set for Christian America to bear witness to the faith which we have in the Lord Jesus Christ. Surely the strength of America is the Christian character of her people.

Let it be remembered also, that Colonel Glenn and his family were active church people. Though he moved many times in the course of his military career, he and his family always found a church of their choice in any new community. Glenn has always been an active leader in the work of Christ. He was a trustee in one church, taught a boys Sunday School class in another and was a choir singer (tenor) in another. Re-
porters still remember the time when Glenn came out of church at Cocoa Beach and chided them for not being "in there to hear what the minister was saying." He has not paraded his religion, but he has demonstrated that the Gospel of Christ wears well even in a space capsule travelling at 17,500 miles per hour.
At the Little Falls United Presbyterian Church in Arlington, Virginia, the entire family-John, Annie, David and Lyn were involved in the total life of the Church. Colonel Glenn spoke from the pulpit on Laymen's Sunday. He and Annie Glenn were counselors at a weekend family church camp. Who can possibly plead the excuse that they are "too busy" to serve Christ in the Church in the light of the unaffected example set by the whole Glenn family?

President Kennedy at a prayer breakfast in Washington, D.C., on March 1, said, "I believe yesterday we saw an interesting contrast in the response which Colonel Glenn made, as to whether he had prayed. And he said that he had not, that he had made his peace with his Maker many years before. And the statement made by Titov in which during his flight, as he flew over the Soviet Union he
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At the Little Falls United Presbyterian Church in Arlington, Virginia, the entire family-John, Annie, David and Lyn were involved in the total life of the Church. Colonel Glenn spoke from the pulpit on Laymen's Sunday. He and Annie Glenn were counselors at a weekend family church camp. Who can possibly plead the excuse that they are "too busy" to serve Christ in the Church in the light of the unaffected example set by the whole Glenn family?

President Kennedy at a prayer breakfast in Washington, D.C., on March 1, said, "I believe yesterday we saw an interesting contrast in the response which Colonel Glenn made, as to whether he had prayed. And he said that he had not, that he had made his peace with his Maker many years before. And the statement made by Titov in which during his flight, as he flew over the Soviet Union he
realized, he said, the wonders of the communist system. I preferred Colonel Glenn's answer because I thought it was so solidly based, in his own life, in his activities in his church, and I think reflects a quality which we like to believe and I think we can believe is much a part of our American heritage."

## III. Patriotism

A national news magazine writes "On Capitol Hill, Glenn easily wowed the assembled Congress, the Supreme Court (which was too busy to attend the President's State of the Union address in January), the Cabinet, the military brass and the diplomatic corps. He spoke to the solemn, jampacked meeting as naturally and mat-ter-of-factly as if he were the star quarter-back explaining Saturday's big victory to school and alumni. His unabashed patriotism went right to the hearts of the dignitaries: "I'm certainly glad to see that pride in our country and its accomplishments is not a thing of the past. I still get a real hard-to-define feeling when the flag goes by."

Evidently this warm-hearted love for his country was always in Glenn's heart. It was in 1942 that Glenn left

Muskingum College to become a naval aviation cadet. Upon graduation, John was assigned to a flight squadron and soon sent to the Marshall Islands. In 1944, First Lieutenant John H. Glenn began a career in the Central Pacific that won him a total of five Distinguished Service Flying Crosses and an Air Medal with eighteen clusters. Later in 1953, Glenn flew bombing and strafing missions in Korea and was credited with downing three MIGS.

America needs a revival of honest, balanced patriotism-the kind we have seen in Colonel Glenn. Josiah Gilbert Holland was seeking this for his country when he wrote at the time of the Civil War:
"THE DAY'S DEMANDS"
"God give us men! A time like this demands
Strong minds, great hearts, true faith and ready hands;
Men whom the lust of office does not kill;
Men whom the spoils of office cannot buy;
Men who possess opinions and a will;
Men who have honor-men who will not lie;
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## III. Patriotism

A national news magazine writes "On Capitol Hill, Glenn easily wowed the assembled Congress, the Supreme Court (which was too busy to attend the President's State of the Union address in January), the Cabinet, the military brass and the diplomatic corps. He spoke to the solemn, jampacked meeting as naturally and mat-ter-of-factly as if he were the star quarter-back explaining Saturday's big victory to school and alumni. His unabashed patriotism went right to the hearts of the dignitaries: "I'm certainly glad to see that pride in our country and its accomplishments is not a thing of the past. I still get a real hard-to-define feeling when the flag goes by."

Evidently this warm-hearted love for his country was always in Glenn's heart. It was in 1942 that Glenn left

Muskingum College to become a naval aviation cadet. Upon graduation, John was assigned to a flight squadron and soon sent to the Marshall Islands. In 1944, First Lieutenant John H. Glemn began a career in the Central Pacific that won him a total of five Distinguished Service Flying Crosses and an Air Medal with eighteen clusters. Later in 1953, Glenn flew bombing and strafing missions in Korea and was credited with downing three MIGS.

America needs a revival of honest, balanced patriotism-the kind we have seen in Colonel Glenn. Josiah Gilbert Holland was seeking this for his country when he wrote at the time of the Civil War:
"THE DAY'S DEMANDS"
"God give us men! A time like this demands
Strong minds, great hearts, true faith and ready hands;
Men whom the lust of office does not kill;
Men whom the spoils of office cannot buy;
Men who possess opinions and a will;
Men who have honor-men who will not lie;

Men who can stand before a demagogue
And damn his treacherous flatteries without winking;
Tall men, sun-crowned, who live above the fog
In public duty and in private thinking;
For while the rabble, with their thumb-worn creeds,
Their large professions and their little deeds,
Mingle in selfish strife, lo! Freedom weeps,
Wrong rules the land, and waiting Justice sleeps."
"Righteousness exalteth a nation: but $\sin$ is a reproach to any people."
(Proverbs $14: 34$ )

## IV. Love For His Family

The whole nation has rejoiced that at last we have a national hero who loves his wife and family with an unfailing love. One reporter tried to report the facts and his own emotional response to that day in Washington when Colonel Glenn addressed a joint meeting of Congress:

Hailed as a hero and a master of the myriad machines of a mysterious trade, he was also a vot-
ing, tax-paying, hard-working citizen. He was Annie's husband, Lyn and David's dad, and Clara Glenn's son.

He introduced them all, taking over proceedings as no king or queen or prime minister ever had done when invited to address the Congress. "If my parents would stand up, please. My dad and mother. My wife's mother . . . . (her father) Dr. Castor is up there in the third row, I'm told. There he is! My son and daughter . . . and the real rock in our family, my wife Annie." Annie, wearing the little lapel pin her husband had carried three times around the world with him, stood up. Proud, poised, the first American to orbit earth looked at her fondly and conjured up a vision not of stellar space but of the tough unity of westward-moving wagon trains as he said, "I'm real proud of her."
It had evidently always been "Annie" for John Glenn, As one of his teenage friends said, "All the other girls liked Johnny, but he had eyes only for Annie from the beginning."

John Glenn saw in his wife the
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John Glenn saw in his wife the
stability and strength which keeps a family lovingly together in the will of God under all sorts of pressures. Surely part of the influence that Mrs. Glenn had in her husband's life came from her faith in the Lord Jesus Christ. "On Christ the solid rock I stand" was a great reality in her religious experience. Her love and prayers followed John in training, in war, in endless separations as he followed orders across America to the South Pacific, back again, then on to Korea, then back to America, etc. But how splendidly they dicovered that "love never fails"-not their love for each other nor God's love for them both.

Whenever she moved, whether Colonel Glenn was home or not, the Glenn family could be found at worship in the Church of God. The Glenn family would be sharing in the life and outreach of the people of God. Their church loyalty was the natural outgrowth of the part Christian faith played in their own lives. During the evenings when the father is home they have a family altar where the Word of God is read and family prayers are said. Like other families that pray and play and stay together, the Glenns have some little traditions which mean much to them. Every
year at Christmas a birthday cake is baked for Jesus, to remind all who enter their home of the birth of the Saviour.

No wonder Colonel Glenn could say without parading his religion, "I rely on the power of prayer." Why not? There were prayers in the home of his parents, prayers with his wife and children, prayers by his pastor with the waiting family as their dear one orbited the globe; prayers on the successful accomplishment of his mission in outer space. It was all very natural, for the Glenns had God in their hearts and in their home.

Who can give us more John Glenns? The answer rests with Christian parents and the homes they establish; with pastors and churches where faith is nurtured and Jesus Christ is honored; with Christian colleges where character is formed and thought is molded.

The Psalmist said, "If I ascend up into heaven, thou art there." (Psalm 139 :8) John Glenn added, "He'll be wherever we go," evidently remembering the words of our Saviour, "Lo, I am with you always even unto the end of the world." (Matthew $28: 20$ ) Yes, with YOU even unto the end.
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Not six months ago there went out of my life all that had made it warm and happy and beautiful. My beloved wife died of cancer, and I lost her who meant more to me than life itself--yes, in those agonizing weeks there were times when I felt she meant more to me than faith itself, and all my hope of eternal life. And then she was gone, and I was left, and how could I still trust God; how could there be faith. When the clear, pure lovelinessof the snow melts away there is only mud. But no, there is more than mud. There is also the sun, that even as it melts away the snow begins to bring forth beneath the mud the loveliness that shall be in the spring. I can truly say that there was more than anguish in those dark weeks, and there is more than loneliness in the world for me now, there is also the warming sun, the Word of God, and the God who keeps his Word. As I look back at the weeks we spent in the hospital I remember best the times we read the Bible together. Every day, clear up to the end, my wife would repeat in her soft Southern way, the 103 rd Psalm:

Bless the Lord 0 my so $u l$, and all that is within me, bless his holy name. Bless the Lord 0 my soul, and forget not all his benefits; who forgiveth all thine iniquities; who healeth all thy diseases...

But does he heal all our diseases? Those are confident words, and we took them as ours. But are they true? So we turned next to the gospels and during those weeks we read together every record we could find of how our Lord healed the sick in the hills and in the cities of the Holy Land. I had forgotten how full the gospels are of miracles of healing. Mark is healing from beginning to end. So we prayed together, then, knowing that the lord could heal her.

But didn't that make it worse? After all, he didn't heal her. No, it didn't make it worse. The Word of God did two things for us there. First, it brought us through the long dark days not with a spirit of despair, but with a feeling of expectancy and confidence. Cl ar up to the end we knew that the lord could heal her, and that buoyed us up with hope, immediate hope, and we trusted Him. And when He did not heal her, and the darkness came in close and cold, then there was still His Word to warm and comfort me, --to tell me that there is a greater miracle than the miracle of healing. There is the Resurrection. 0 death where is thy sting; 0 grave where is thy victory."

And now, through the lonely, empty months I have found I am not alone. I have a friend, who says in His Word, he will never leave me nor forsake me. And I have a shepherd:
"The Lord is my shepherd, I shall not want
He maketh me to lie down in green pastures; he leadeth me beside the still waters. He restoreth ny soul.
He leadeth me in the paths of righteousness for his name's sake. Yea tho I walk thru the valley of the shadow of death I will fear no evil; for thou art with me; thy rod and thy staff they comfort me.

That is what the Bible means to me: warmth, comforting warmth for my soul.
But remember, there was more than warmth in the little fruit-sellers proof that the Bible is the Word of God. "It warms and lights my ul," she said. What does the Bible mean to me: it means light. "Thy word," says the Psalmist, "is a lamp unto ny feet and a light unto ny path."
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The Missonary Motive
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Lective EV:-

Theme: The Christian Mission in a Revolutionary World
Friday, July 29, 1955
The Call to Worship
Hymn: "We've A Story To Tell To The Nations" - No. 261
Responsive Reading: Psalm 46; Matthew 24:6, 1 : 14
God is our refuge and strength, a very present help in tro:uble.
THEREFORE WILL NOT WE FEAR, THOUGH THE EARTH BE RENOVED, AND THOUGH THE MOUNTAINS BE CARRIED INTO THE MIDST OF THE SEA;
Though the waters thereof roar and be troubled, though the mountains shake with the swelling thereof.
THERE IS A RIVER, THE STREAMS WHEREOF SHALL MAKE GLAD THE CITY OF GOD, THE HOLY PLACE OF THE TABERNACLES OF THE MOST HIGH.
God is in the midst of her; she shall not be moved: God shall help her, and that right early.
THE HEATHEN RAGED, THE KINGDONS WERE MOVED; HE UTTERED HIS VOICE, THE EARTH MELTED.
The Lord of hosts is with us; the God of Jacob is our refuge.
COME, BEHOLD THE WORKS OF THE LORD, WHAT DESOLATIONS HE HATH MADE IN THE EARTH.
He maketh wars to cease unto the end of the earth; he breaketh the bow, and cutteth the spear in sunder; he burn th the charict in the fire.
BE STILL, AND KNOW THAT I $M$ GOD: I WILL BE EXALTED AMONG THE HEATHEN, I WILL BE EXALTED IN THE EARTH.
The Lord of hosts is with us; the God of Jacob is our refuge.
AND YE SHALL HEAR OF WARS AND RUMOURS OF WARS: SEE THAT YE BE NOT TROUBLED: FOR ALL THESE THINGS MUST COME TO PASS, BUT THE END IS NOT YET.
But he that shall endure unto the end, the same shall be saved.
AND THIS GOSPEL OF THE KIIGDOM SHALL BE FREACHED IN ALL THE WORLD FOR A WITNESS UNTO ALL NATIONS: AND THEN SHALL THE END CONE.

Hymn: "O Jesus, I Have Promised" - No, I65
Prayer:

1. For God's blessing upon this World Mission Conference - that every speaker, every Conference leader, and cvery participant may be under the Holy Spirit's direction and cont:ol.
2. That every missiorary home on furlough, or retired from active service may re ceivo spiritual refroshment and strengthening.
3. That we may understand morc clear?y the world revolution of our time and the power of tho Gospel to richit every wrong, meet overy need, and save every soul that will belicve.
4. For Missions in the Far East - Japan. Korea, Formosa. For Christians in Communist China.
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## CONVICTION OF SIN

1!y sin cost Jesus His blood; has it cost me tears? The Father forsook Fis Son when He became sin for me, sin that broke Fin. Is it leaving me whole?
Sin saused Him to bow Fis head. Am I still unbowed? Hy sin covered His face with shaine and blood; does it cover mine with shame?
IIy sin caused Fim agony. Am I still at peace? Hy sin caused His soul to be troubled.
Is mine at rest?
My sin pierced His stde. Has it pierced my soul?
My sin rent His heart. Has it broken mine?
My sfin stripped His body before the crowd, Has my soul been stripped before God?
Fas my sin cost me sleepless hours as it did Him?
Has my stn cost me tears as it did Hia?
Has my sin cost me one meal? He fasted.
Being sin-sick means: a mind so troubled I cannot sleep; a heart so heavy I cannot talk, the only language a groan; a body so burdened I have no desire for food.
In the presence of God I see my sin:
my eyes - "no fear of God before their eyes" Rom. 3:8
my oars - "ears that hear not" Eze. 12:2
Ey lips - "unclean" Isa. 6:5
ny hands - "do evil with both hands" licah 7:2
my heet - "their feet run to evil" Prov. l:16
my heart - "deceitful above all things" Jer. 17:9
How could He have wept over me with His eyes, listened to me with His ears, ealled mo with His lips, lifted me up with His hands, sought me with His feet, loved we with all His heart?
Let ray first prayer not be, "Lord, fill mo," but"Lord, empty ne" (of my sin); not "Lord, cleanse me," but "Lord, break me."
The most deeply broken is not of necessity the moet sinful; he is the one who has given God the fullest opportunity to search and probe.
When I pray out every sin the Holy spirit reveals to me, calling thea by the ugly names God gives thom, then I realize their magnitude and also God's coinpassion.
once my heart breaks becauso of sin, He will be able to use me to help others to the place where their hearts will bleed because of thoir sin. A broken heart is pained by the sins of defeated ones and weeps for them. It hates sin the wore because of what at sees it is doing.
Where there is a conviction of gin there is no vagueness. I may realize I have failed God in service, in prayer, and in obedience, but if I not broken I know nothing of a conviction of sin.
Tho moran who was a sinner to ok the LOWEST place, behind His back, knoəling at His feet. Job took that place (in dust and ashes) crying, "I an vile; I cannot speak." David did likewise, "lly heart is so pained."
Paul foll to the ground and came to see"himself as the "chief of sinners."
From the notes of Aletta Naude Jacobsz, the woman led of God to start revival anong the aissionaries of Korea which spread to the native church. She died in a lifeboat on the North Atlantic in the spring of 1942, peacefully and at rest.
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# The Oakland Cark freghyterian Church 

<br>Columbus, Min

Dear Mr. Griswold;
Four or five of our Westminster Fellowship came back from Summer Conference enthusiastic about Youth Budget. The Stewardship Commission organized a Youth Budget Committee to make a further study with our own situation in mind. They were convinced that it could be done and set about working out the details.

I wont give you all the details but there were several interesting things that came to light. We went to the church treasurer to get the total amount the church received through pledges from the children and youth the year before. Then, the Sunday School treasurer gave us the total received in offerings in the children's and youth departments. We wanted to be sure Sunday School and Church didn't lose anything by supporting the Y. B.. We added some for Youth work, building fund, and benevolence and set as our goal $\$ 650.00$. The Sunday School as a whole (adult departments included) had reported $\$ 861.00$ total receipts the year before. That made \$ 650 look big.

With our facts and figures in hand we went to the Session and the committee did an admirable job of selling and explaining. They had all the answers. The Session granted permission to go ahead. The Committee decided that something more than pretty speeches would have to be used to sell the Sunday School Board and Sunday School members. A skit was worked out and produced. It was nothing very serious, just a lot of fun, but it was convincing. The canvass came next.

The campaign was organized and started. The first intimation that we had set our mark low came in a pre-campaign meeting held at Westminster Fellowship one evening. After some pep talks pledge cards were circulated and we discovered at the close of the meeting that the first 15 pledges totaled $\$ 300$ which as almost half the budget. From then on things happened fast. The canvass chairman would stop me on the street, call me out of meetings, phone me to report progress. In no time the budget had been met and passed and the latest report was that over $\$ 1200$ in pledges had been received. They are still coming in. This convinced even those adults who were sure we "wouldn't be able to pay for supplies.

The satisfaction comes to the minister, not primarily in the over-pledged budget, but in the new tone of appreciation with which the young people say our church". Any church ought to be willing to subsidize the Y. B. program, if necessary, for its high spiritual and educational value. I'm all for it.
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RAYMOND E. DRONBFIELD
MINISTER

June 15, 1945
Dear Mr. Griswold;
Four or five of our Westminster Fellowship came back from Summer Conference enthusiastic about Youth Budget. The Stewardship Commission organized a Youth Budget Committee to make a further study with our own situation in mind. They were convinced that it could be done and set about working out the details.

I wont give you all the details but there were several interesting things that came to light. We went to the church treasurer to get the total amount the church received through pledges from the children and youth the year before. Then, the Sunday School treasurer gave us the total received in offerings in the children's and youth departments. We wanted to be sure Sunday School and Church didn't lose anything by supporting the Y. B.. We added some for Youth work, building fund, and benevolence and set as our goal $\$ 650.00$. The Sunday School as a whole (adult departments included) had reported $\$ 861.00$ total receipts the year before. That made \$ 650 look big.

With our facts and figures in hand we went to the Session and the committee did an admirable job of selling and explaining. They had all the answers. The Session granted permission to go ahead. The Committee decided that something more than pretty speeches would have to be used to sell the Sunday School Board and Sunday School members. A skit was worked out and produced. It was nothing very serious, just a lot of fun, but it was convincing. The canvass came next.

The campaign was organized and started. The first intimation that we had set our mark low came in a pre-campaign meeting held at Westminster Fellowship one evening. After some pep talks pledge cards were circulated and we discovered at the close of the meeting that the first 15 pledges totaled $\$ 300$ whichwas almost half the budget. From then on things happened fast. The canvass chairman would stop me on the street, call me out of meetings, phone me to report progress. In no time the budget had been met and passed and the latest report was that over $\$ 1200$ in pledges had been received. They are still coming in. This convinced even those adults who were sure we "wouldn't be able to pay for supplies.

The satisfaction comes to the minister, not primarily in the over-pledged budget, but in the new tone of appreciation with which the young people say our church". Any church ought to be willing to subsidize the Y. B. program, if necessary, for its high spiritual and educational value. I'm all for 1 .

Prayes
Prager can mean
(1) Petiton
(2) Intercession
(3) Meditation
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Lenten Devotional, 1994. For "A Drying Tear: Signs of a Covenant" Sam Moffett

Third Week in Lent.
The roots of conflict. James 4: 8-10. Dry your tears? How?

A spirit of divisiveness is tearing at our church. Some blame it on sin, as if diagnosis is a cure. Some weep, but think nothing can be done about it. James disagrees. He says, in effect, if it is sin it is wrong, but there is a cure; and though sin indeed is in all of us, tears won't wash it away; But there are two things we can do about it.

First comes repentance. Until tears of repentance clean out the roots of anger in our hearts, they will twist and grow and stifle the church into impotence. We must join in the public confession of the battered post-war church of Germany: "We know ourselves to be with our people in a great company of suffering, but also in a great solidarity of guilt."

More than the heart, however, needs changing. James adds the practical admonition, "Cleanse your hands". Repentance is a hands-on matter, As has been said, it is not just being sorry; it is being sorry enough to quit. Then we can dry our tears and begin to help. We are forgiven.

## Samuel Hugh Moffett

Jan. 15, 1994
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## Olemace your hands, ye staners. Jumos is 8

Too many people biane whe oa fin assa thea aft buck oeatentedly thinking thoy have disuoned of the matter. $\qquad$ in is in us. The roote of war are in our heurts and until our hoasts are oleansed througis ropentance and oy josun Christ those roote mill twiat end fura again into the dark trees of war. Wo must join in the public confession of the battered ohuroh of dermay, "ire lenow ourselven to be with ove people in a great company of oufiorjag, but alwo in a great solidurity of guilto"

But more than the heart mecir chonghage Juses adds the praotioni asmonition, "Clemace your houds." Ropentance, it has oftera boen said, in not juet boing eorrys it is boing sorry enouch to guit. It involved the hands we woll as the heart.

## Hond: Javer 48-10

 etrayed from jhy wayd like lost oheop. We theve followed toe mols the devices and denires of our own heartis. Wie have ieft undono those things whieh we ought to have donss And wo have domo those thinge whioh wo ought not to have cones find ther in no health is us. But 2hou, O Lord, have marey upon us. pestore Thou those who are ponitinty Acoording to Thy prouises in Christ Josus our Lord. Nema."

Wheree oose war: and inclating among you. junen 9:1
Historians toll us that in the four thouasm yoara of man's recordod existence, there have been only two hundred and ixty-eight your of peace. Thy?
Io the fault vith the Jows and intornational
 gien-yood land-hungry, havenot nutionsi Ko. The ee co only the whipplay boys whom wo uneanily condems for our own ortmen. Iname gnes to the reot of the mstter. the conds of war are in our own hearte. Our uin and our greed bring atrifo and fighting w.

Too 10 解 wo have tried to outlew wer without changixg tho hourt, whout recing the problen of 1a. It woa"t work, Atter the last war a ereat rooolution araingt war was oirculatud. Inchernis nyo It colleted over a milion olenatures -more than had ever in hintory appeared on any one dooument. Pivo preminunt women wore ohosen to preapat this petition for pence to the League of fations, lut on the day for its prommitation no potition appoarad. The sive women were in their hotel suite bitterly querroling over whioh one would formully prosent the doounst.

## Rexil Jume A1-7

 Thy coolanem and Thy balms Lot nease be Qusb, lot Pleah retires Speak throuph the oarthquake, wind, and ilire, 0 *ill. anll volo of caln."

## The HI Be ten

Tho 1 a whee and underatandiak among you
-- James 3.18
Before Jonas dote his racers amer this question, he gives them a warning. If has a nor toot for wisdom, now measuring stick for the wise man. What is it: (Rom the Fe ot of themryme)
 mat by wat he says or writes. Heaume Sinseln wrote a revolutionary creation on relativity, and - short but equally revolutionary equation that lat the foundation for atomic research, wo any ho must to ouse of the wlacet wen of our time.
or lees worthily wo make a flash judgment about a male wi dom on the basie of the number of degrees ho own write after his mam. Moholas murray author mat bo vies, Wink, because he hat more degrees than anyone lase in the world. cold

Your standards are all wrong, James wiz t Bey. The truly wise man on be detected not by degrees, (academic) or even words, but by chisels.

A Good $2 i 5$ e is bettor proof of wisdom than a wisecrack.

Road: Juno 3 :13, 27-18
Prayer: Dear Lord our heavenly Father, mil-witw-mativmentornites $f 111$ our wall minds with the wisdom that is frow above, pure. peaceable, gentle, full of moray and good fruits, and amble ut to show our wisdom by our works. For Jesus' sake. Amen.

## The Reoknese of 到sdou

If yo have．．．entrifo in your hearte，fiory not． Janne 3：15
The wisest of men have always bnen the noet humble，ilke soarates who modestly decided thet his wisdom oomiatod ohiofly in his awareases of the lizitations of his know－ lodge．

Fride inolates，buila barriore of sumpiolon agaizet the 11 ght，outs off the mind from nourish－ sent axd atiruius．Br．B．R．Humnioutt，prosident of Maekensio Collage in irazil pointe out that wo ars like flowing coala is a Ifreplaces the fire clowe while the coals touch eaoh other，but when the oosla are moparated，the fire goen outo

Ayy wiadom that mparatos ue fron our follew Chrimisine in pride or anyy or etife is mot from above，＂cay＂James，＂buti is oarthly，comsun， devilish．＂It does miat no tribulation，or distross． or poril，or mord can do．It soparates us from Christ．And when wo aro soparated fros Rim，the fire $600=$ out．

Road：James 3：24－26
Prayer： 0 lord our God，wo would be wise not aftor the pattora of the world，but after the pattorn of the mizd of Chrlet，who made himecif of no reputation and humbiod himecif in＂mookness of whedom．＂Cleanse our proud hoarts and ainds by thy spirit． In Josur＇クare．凡men．
"THE BEGINNING OF WISDOM...."
The following address was given by W. Stanford Reid, Professor and Head of the Department of History at the University of Guelph in Guelph, Ontario. The address was presented at the annual convocation of the Wheaton College Scholastic Honor Society on May 3, 1973 in Fdman Chapel.

## The Biblical View of Wisdom

Wisdom is a term employed very commonly in the Bible, and one which is very often taken for granted as being a general term without much specific content. Yet as we examine biblical statements, particularly the wisdom literature of the Old Testament, we find that "wisdom" had a quite definite meaning for the Old Testament believer, and in like fashion has an equally specific significance for the Christian. Furthermore, we find that this term is related clearly to the intellectual endeavours of man. Consequently we must attempt to look at it in our day in relation to contemporary scientific, using that term in its broadest sense, activity.

In order to understand the meaning of wisdom in the biblical sense, we should perhaps begin by seeing what is meant by "knowledge." This latter word seems to deal primarily with the intellect. It refers to man's acquisition of facts, and then man's reasoning and understanding of those facts as they appear, according to the writer of Ecclesiastes, "under the sun." Such knowledge is important and necessary, but it is by no means final nor ultimate, for it operates, shall we say, on the surface of things, dealing primarily with spaciotemporal phenomena and their immediate relationships. Such knowledge we are told may be misused, misunderstood and mistaken in its conclusions. (Job 38ff; Pro. 14:12)

Wisdom, on the other hand, is of a different character and onder, for it goes much deeper. It reaches to the "heart of man," the very core of his being, the center of his personality. Thus it involves the whole man, his intellect, his emotions, his will. It determines the presuppositions of all his action and thought in this world, which means that his "wisdom" goes back to what we might call his "religious faith" whatever that faith may be, whether Christianity, Mohammedanism or atheism. It refers to the personal frame of reference with which he approaches the world in which he lives, moves and works. (Pro. 4:23; 23:7)

In this way we may perhaps see the biblical distinction between wisdom and knowledge. Wisdom includes knowledge, but goes much farther, for while knowledge gives some understanding of the phenomena of experience and their relationships, wisdom seeks to interpret the facts, the experience of the individual and of all men, in a more ultimate sense. It also seeks to give man some idea of what his life means, and consequently what it should be. (Ps. lll:l0; Eccles. 12:13) It thus indicates not only what is, but also what should be, if we admit that there is ever a "should" in the human vocabulary. It attempts to indicate to us how we should act and how we should use the things of this world in which we live. The Wisdom Literature of the Old Testament, particularly Proverbs and Ecclesiastes and many of the sayings of Christ recorded in the Gospels, as well as such passages in the letters of Paul such as I Corinthians 1 and 2, and Colossians 1 , show this very clearly.

## The Beginning of Wisdom

Yet wisdom is by no means infallible, for there is a false and a true wisdom. The unbeliever has wisdom it is true, but since he begins with wrong presuppositions as both Ecclesiastes and I Corinthians 1 and 2 indicate, his wisdom is in fact folly. He may have all kinds of knowledge and understanding of the phenomena: physical, psychological and social, of this world, but still be completely off course when he comes to making any evaluation of the ultimate meaning of things. The reason for this is that the wisdom of this world is centered on this world, that which is "under the sun." He may of course attempt to understand the ultimate meaning of existence, but he always does so on the basis of earth bound experience, which means that even his gods are always subject to the limitations of the space-time continuum. For this reason the rationalists of the eighteenth and twentieth centuries have never been able to go beyond an empty deism and a purely speculative immortality. For all practical purposes, their wisdom has left them still bound to that which is "under the sun." In sharp contrast to this point of view, the Christian believes that true wisdom is of a very different order and category, for it arises from very different presuppositions, from a wholly opposite religious starting point: the sovereign Tri-une God who has revealed Himself to man in history.

The Oid Testament position on the matter of wisdom is that it begins with "the fear of the Lord." Thus the believer's wisdom is something which is not of his own devising, but depends upon his relationship to the sovereign, covenant God. Yet this relationship is not one of "fear" in our usual sense of terror or fearfulness, but has a very different meaning. As Psalm 103:13 indicates, it is rather the fear of a child at the possibility of breaking the filial relationship with God. It is a childike trust and confidence which results in heartfelt love and obedience. This is what the Heidelberg Catechism means when it states that our only hope in life and death is:

That I with body and soul, both in life and in death, am not my own, but belong to my faithful Saviour Jesus Christ, who with his precious blood satisfied all my sins, and redeemed me from all the power of the devil......;
and the Westminster Shorter Catechism (1647), Question 34 explains
Adoption is an act of God's free grace, whereby we are received into the number and have a right to all the privileges of the sons of God.

The Christian thus begins his thinking concerning himself and his universe from presuppositions very different from those of the non-Christian.

These presuppositions are summed up in Christ himself, who has heen made unto us wisdom, for in Him is all the wisdom of God incarnate. (I Cor. 1:30; Col. l:l5ff) True wisdom, therefore, ultimately results from our personal relationship to Him by faith. When we have laid hold upon Him by faith as our Saviour and our Lord, when we have been joined to Him by the Holy Spirit Who works in us saving faith, we then begin to see all things in a new light and in a different way. "Behold all things have become new." (2 Cor. 5:17) The Christian by this regeneration which he has experienced by God's grace, is enabled to see the Kingdom of God, which means that he now recognizes God's rule through Jesus Christ in and over all things. (John 3:3,5) From this point
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on, he is to seek to understand all things in this light for only as he does so does he have a true understanding of both their meaning and their purpose. Only then does he have true wisdom for his starting point.

But what is exactly the nature, the content, of this starting point? To speak of God's sovereignty is good, but what do we mean by it? Perhaps the best statement of it is given to us in Colossians l:l5ff, in which the Apostle Paul speaks to us of Christ as the Creator of all things, the one who holds all things together and who redeems all things, with the result that all things are under His sovereignty, both in heaven and upon earth. We may add to this statement the statements of the Apostle John in the first chapter of his Gospel and the declarations of the first chapter of the Epistle to Hebrews. All this means that whatever may be our interest or purpose in life, as Christians, whenever we look at God's creatures we see them in his creative - providential - redemptive light. These are the presuppositions with which we must begin if we are to think in a Christian manner.

As we look back through history since the earliest days of the Church, this has always been the position of those who have striven to think in Christian terms about any or every aspect of the universe. This has been the beginning of true wisdom. Augustine, Bishop of Hippo, found that it was necessary to have the sovereignty of God, the lordship of Christ, as the foundation for his explanation of the fall of the Roman Empire, that is, for the meaning of all history. The same assumptions lay behind the thought of a man such as Thomas Aquinas, for although we may not agree with much of his theology, nevertheless this had to be his starting point whether he sought to set forth a summa of theology or attempted to convert the pagans. John Calvin of the 16 th century was in much the same situation, and as one studies his Institutes of the Christian Religion one cannot but be impressed that his whole structure of thought rested upon this base. Unfortunately, just as natural science became more important Christians tended to retreat from this presupposition of thought. They stressed the doctrine of salvation, but creation and providence became unimportant. True, they stressed creation of a certain type, modelled largely on Aristotelian ideas, and providence, particularly in terms of Christ's rule over all things, became anathema to many. The result has been the loss of true Christian wisdom, and all too frequently the take-over of scientific activity by unbelievers who have made science the enemy of the Christian faith.

## Biblical Wisdom in Contemporary Scientific Activity

We now come to the place where we must ask ourselves if Christian wisdom has any place or significance in contemporary scientific activity. I use the term "scientific" here in its widest possible sense, not applying it only to physical or biological studies, but to all systematic investigation of creation including both man and his environment. In this broad field we find that scientific knowledge today is doubling at the rate of every ten years, to the distress of a great many undergraduates. The gaps in our knowledge and understanding of the universe are becoming narrower all the time, with some biological scientists declaring that they now believe that they have the secret of life. In the latter part of the nineteenth century a good many scientists of various types were proclaiming that man had about reached the limits of scientific knowledge. All he had to do was cross a few 'ts' and dot a few 'is' to make
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human knowledge complete. The developments of sciertific knowledge since 1945, however, has changed this whole picture with the result that we do not know what the limits of future scientific knowledge may be; and the possible consequences are not merely intriguing, but are positively frightening in their prospects. George Orwell's 1984 will look like a description of an old ladies' tea party compared to what will actually happen if we continue to increase our knowiedge at the pace at which we are now advancing.

My reason for saying this is not that the increase of knowlerge is in itself bad, but rather that while man increases in his knowledge of the univcrse, he does so as though he and the universe are both self-existent, autonomous entities. In a truly positivistic fashion he collects his facts, relates ther', applies them to his own use in a truly Comptian manner. He believes that if only he can find out all the facts, he can have virtually ultimate knowledge. furthermore, in so doing he believes that he is the only interpreter of hitherto uninterpreted facts which he can now use as he pleases without let or hindrancc from any ethical or moral restraints. What this can mean has leeen pointed out repeatedly by men such as Herbert Marcuse and Floyd W. 'ratson. ${ }^{1}$ The fact is that man without the light of the Gospel simnly lacks the wisdom to direct and control his own knowledge.

Perhaps it is for this reason that we may sympathize with a good many Christians who fear science and wish to stay as far away from it as possille. Ever sinc- 15 un or thercabouts, the tendency of many devout and well-meaning Christian people has been to view any increase in knowledre as evil and as fundamentally contrary to the will of God. Many down to the present time have accented a more or less Aristotelian outlook on the universe which they have modified to suit a Christian point of view, subject of course to certain scientific facts which they camnot avoid, such as the fact that the earth is a globe which has an orbit around the sun. (Although there is a "flat earth" society in Britain which denies all these conclusions.) But the general attitude of many of these Christians has been that Christian faith and morlern science are mutually incompatible. I have had students come to me at registration time to ask how they can avoid taking a science course since they do not feel that their Christian faith and the prescribed course in physics, chemistry or biology will fit together. This is one solution to the Christian's problem of relating his faith to modern scientific endeavour.

We have seen this attitude come out whenever a new and radical deveiopment has taken place in scientific thinking. For instance Luther and his supporter Osiander rejected Copernicus's theory of the solar centered universe as contrary to the biblical teaching. ${ }^{2}$ When Galileo set forth his views on the universe he was dealt with rather drastically by the loly office of the Inquisition; and Richard Baxter along with others of his way of thinkinf were by no means happy with the developments which led to the publication of Sir Isaac Newton's Principia Mathematica. The real explosion came, however, with the publication of Charles Darwin's Origins of Species and Descent of Man. Usually instead of attempting to come to grips with the problem Christians sought to laugh it off, as in the case of Bishop Wilberforce, or they ran for the cover of ignorance. Fortunately some such as W. R. Thompson, F.R.S., editor of the most recent Everyman edition of the Orisins has pointed out the fallacies of
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the theory. ${ }^{3}$ Even more recently many Christians opposed space-travel, on the ground that it was not biblical. Hiding one's head in the sand is not limited to ostriches!

Other Christians have attempted to solve the problem of the threat of science to Christianity by developing a "philosophy of gass." While they have been willing, sometimes too willing, to accept every new scientific theory that has come along, wherever a gap in man's knowledge has appeared they have held that it was an evidence of God's working. Moreover, wherever or whenever something particularly favorable to their side, whether in matters of economics, politics or religious beliefs have appeared, they have insisted that this is a revelation of God's action. The evacuation of the British troops at Dunkirk in World War II is a good example of such thinking. If something happens in science, or human history for which there is no explanation either as to its cause or its timing, they tend to claim that this shows that at this point God is acting. 4

The only difficulty with this type of thinking is that we then make fod merely the "god of the gaps." His area of operation is that of man's ignorance. Thus, as man's knowledge of physical or human nature and history increases fod is gradually closed out. The gaps are disappearing one by one with the result that this type of thinking can only lead to the disappearance of God altogether from this universe, with deism or atheism as the outcomc. Even in the case of events such as Dunkirk we still have to ask ourselves the question: if God brought about the appearance of the mist that help with the evacuation, did he not also bring about the defeat of the British forces a few days earlier at Abbeville? How can we talk of God ruling in one episode and not in another? $r$ r The gap theory really has very little in its favor. To say that we at this point cannot explain this or that phenomena either in the plysical or biological sphere, or in the sphere of human history, does not really enable the Christian to cope with modern non-religious scientific thinking, for we may eventually find the cause or be able to explain what happened, and then where loes fod go?

Another way out of this dilemma of the so-called conflict hetween Ciristianity and science is to accept the various theories and conclusions that are set forth by scholars and scientists while at the same time we try to hans on to our Christian beliefs. This has been the method of Roman Catholicism through its use of the concepts of "nature" and "grace." It has left scientific thought largely autonomous, while stressing that the only way to attain to eternal life was through the repository of grace held by the church. This was the position of Thomas Aquinas in his Summa Contra Gentiles, and although it has suffered some serious modifications as a result of scientific developments since 1600 , the principle is still accepted. ${ }^{5}$ In much the same way one might say that so-called neo-orthodoxy has attempted to follow a similar road, in its doctrine of the Word of God, and its distinction between man's time and God's time. ${ }^{6}$ Yet the result in both cases usually leads to shipwreck of the faith, for in the long run man finds it impossible to hold in tension the two contrary ideas of the sovereignty of God and at the same time the independence of man and creation. The outcome has usually been that the individual attempting to hold such a position has eventually forgotten about God and has concentrated on the autonomous, self-governing universe.
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All these approaches, however, seem to avoid the real issue. Why should the Christian fear science or history? After all if we believe that the whole of the physical universe and man's history in it is the handiwork of God, created, sustained and governed at all times by his almighty nower, why should we have any doubts about it? As we increase our knowledge of chemistry, physics, biology, society, history and all the other spheres of existence, do we not increase our knowledge of the work of God, who also reveals himself in every fact of the world in which we live? Such scientific investigation and study we should regard as one of the highest responsibilities which man has. Fear which results in the Christian's attempt either to fly from the growing scientific knowledge or to compromise with it, is not only unbecoming, it is sinful for he is thereby revealing that he does not believe that Jesus Christ is truly Lord of Creation. What we must do, therefore, is seek to look at the whole of the universe in the light of Scripture, to see it as God's possession. Unbelieving man, on the other hand, interprets wrongly because of his faulty assumption of his own and creation's autonomy, which in turn means that he is able to interpret and use it according to his own knowledge and will, without regard for anyone but himself.

What we need today in the light of contemporary scientific developments is more of the approach of Francis Bacon. As a Christian and a scientist he manifested an attitude to scientific endeavour very different from that of many Christians, and for that matter, many scientists today. Insisting that God has revealed himself through two books, special revelation in the Bible and natural revelation in the world around us, he stressed the responsibility of the Christian to know both. As he insisted in The Advancement of Learning
"... let no man upon a weak conceit of sobriety or an ill-applied moderation think or maintain, that a man can search too far, or be too well studied in the book of God's Word, or in the book of God's works: divinity or philosophy (science): but rather let men endeavour an endless proficience in both....

At the same time he believed that the two knowledges were not the same, for while science gave a knowledge of the creatures it gave only a broken image of God who could be known truly through the Scriptures of the Old and New Testament alone. Scientific knowledge, he held, should be employed for man's benefit and use, but the study of creation is ultimately to reveal the power and the glory of God. 7 In all of this. Bacon was simply carrying out the thinking of John Calvin to its logical conclusion. ${ }^{3}$

Yet in setting forth such a position, Bacon did not reject or deny that the non-Christian could and did learn much concerning the spacio-temporal universe. Nor should we. By God's Common Grace to all men even the most blatant atheist can learn much of the physical characteristics of the universe and its contents. He can work out various hypotheses which he can verify by experiment. He may even reach distant stars. But the trouble is that he misinterprets and misuses his discoveries, for he believes that he is the final arbiter, the ultimate interpreter of all things. He forgets or rejects the sovereignty of God over himself and over the whole of creation. In this way he loses contact with the Pole Star of knowledge, and so fails to gain
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true "wisclom." This is why the psalmist said that "the fool has said in his heart there is no God." (Ps. 14:1) Without true wisdom while there may be knowledge, there can only be folly. What the non-Christian seeks to do is give a completely immanent explanation of all things, as though his explanation is something entirely new and is completely ultimate. But in so doing he eventually runs aground on his own temporality, for he can really give no ultimate explanation of anything on a purely spacio - temporal basis, unless he comes to the conclusion that everything happens by chance. Then he is reduced to the position of Sir James Jeans who at the beginning of The Mysterious Universe says:

Into such a (hostile) universe we have stumbled, if not exactly by mistake, at least as the result of what may be properly described as an accident. The use of such a word need not imply any surprise that our earth exists, for accidents will happen, and if the universe goes on for long enough, every conceivable accident is likely to happen in time.

He then goes on to quote Huxley's conjecture that six monkeys strumming on typewriters for a million years would eventually turn out all the books in the British Museum - presumably his own included. 9 But he has to end the book by saying that the creator of this universe must have been a consummate mathematician, which seems to contradict his basic premise of chance, for chance is no explanation of experience. The only other possibility is the metaphysical world of Max. Planck who holds that

> ...the real world - in other words, objective nature stands behind everything explorable. In contrast to it, the scientific world picture gained by experience the phenomenological world - remains always a mere approximation, a more or less well divined model. 10

But what this real world remains, as it does for men such as Planck and Sir Arthur Eddington, is basically a mystery. Neither chance nor sheer mystery, however, provide much wisdom for man in this temporal existence.

The Christian, on the other hand, has a radically different approach. True, he does not seek to see directly the hand of God moving in the events of nature or history. God governs normally and usually through secondary causes, by what Calvin has called the "secret operation of his Holy Spirit." The element of mystery is always there, but it is not the mystery of the unknowable and the unknown. It is the mystery of the action of the eternal God, the creator, sustainer, ruler and redeemer of the world. It is the mystery of the relation of time and eternity. Therefore, the Christian does not think that everything in the reactions of natural phenomena nor every action of man in history shows God's acting directly in time. Only when he himself reveals that he is so working directly can we know.

Yet believing that fod is sovereign over all things and "works all things after the council of his own will" (Eph. l:ll), the Christian holds strongly to the idea of a coherent universe, God's universe. And because it is God's universe it is, as Francis Bacon and many Christian thinkers since have maintained, man's responsibility to investigate and employ as a steward its wealth
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and riches whether physical or human, to God's eternal glory. The scientific endeavour, therefore, whatever its sphere, for the Christian, is a God-given duty and responsibility. This does not mean, as some would claim, that the Christian believes that the universe must be raped of its wealth and beauty, but that man is to use what God has given him of physical, esthetic, emotional and intellectual wealth for the benefit of himself and his fellownen and to manifest the goodness and power of God, for as the Apostle Paul says the universe is the manifestation of his "eternal power and fodhead." This is tme wisdom, and as we increase our knowledge of the universe parallel with our knowledge of God, so we shall increase in wisdom, and in favor with God, if not always in favor with man.

This all takes us back to the thought with which we began. The Christian sees the whole of the universe, including himself, in a different light from that of the non-Christian. The fact is that they both begin from diametrically opposed presuppositions. The unbeliever's starting point is that of Henley:

> It matters not how strait the gate, How charged with punishments the scroll, I am the master of my fate; I am the captain of my soul.
and for that matter of the universe. The Christian, on the other hand, has begun his pilgrimage with the words of the publican: "God be merciful to me a sinner." This is the true fear of the Lond which is the starting point for true wisdom. Through his knowledge of God in Christ, the Christian has a true and proper perspective on himself, on life and on all things.

For all things are yours, whether Paul or Apollos or Cephas, whether the ordered world, or life or death, or the present or the future, all are yours, but you are Christ's and Christ is God's. (I Cor. 3:21)

The Christian's faith in Christ is the beginning of wisdom. By his acceptance of him as saviour he is "converted" or turned around to a new outlook and understanding. But this is only the beginning. After this first ster has been taken he must grow not only in grace but also in understanding and wisdom. He is to gain a greater knowledge of the universe in which he lives and a greater knowledge of his fellow men and of himself. This he does to a consileralle extent through his own intellectual growth, resulting from his scientifir labors. And as his true wisdom expands, he gains an ever greater knowledge of his Lord and Saviour, Tesus Christ, who increasingly is given the glory, that in all things he might have the pre-eminence.

> University of Guelph, Guelph, Ont., Canada
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Gerhard Sauter

The question for the "object" - or rather: the "subject matter" and for the "method" of dogmatics should be posed in a slightly different way: We can try to name the most important characteristics which make it possible to decide whether an argument follows the rules of christian dogmatics and to describe the "rule-following". So we can answer the question what dogmatics is all about. The same question has to be presented in a new perspective and in a new light. This new perspective concerning the genesis and practice of dogmatics tries to discern the "object" - in the sense of a task, of the "subject matter", and in the sense of a reality which dogmatics encounters, which gives form to dogmatics and its practice.
"Object" then does not imply the submission of dogmatics, nor does it signify any matter of fact which could be described and established independently and then serve as a "foundation" of dogmatics. To avoid such a misunderstanding, I prefer the word "character" instead of "subject matter"; the character of dogmatics is the profile or feature which cannot be mistaken for anything else and which enables us to recognize dogmatics. You can compare it to the physiognomy of a person: A photograph may reproduce most of the details and yet it may miss the character. Conversely, a good artist is able to hit the point with a few lines, to draw the person, so that we can immediately recognize the face. In this respect, a good portrait is superior to any photographic picture, because the coherence of the lines is pointed out, the connections, which give life to the picture.

The physiognomy of dogmatics is characterized by the being, revelation and action of God. To put it slightly differently: It is characterized by the theological answer to the questions: "Who is God, that we may pray to him?" - "How does God address us, how do we encounter God?" - "Who are we in relation to God?"

Dogmatics is no collection of "dogmatical" statements, but rather
an example of what Th. Kuhn calls "normal science". As other sciences, dogmatics is characterized by a constellation, a certain recurrent structure of words and objects. We have to draw this structure with a few statements in order to recognize it again and again in different circumstances and in different verbal
sequences. We can demonstrate this by three paradigmatic answers to the fundamental questions of dogmatics as mentioned above.

The doctrine of the Trinity answers the first question and characterizes Christian God-talk: God is the Triune God, Jesus Christ is God's revelation, and as Spirit God acts upon us. We can discern the Divinity of God - God as God - only by the revelation and action of God. On the other hand, it is impossible simply to identify God with the revelation and action of God - God acts in the creation, the reconciliation and the consummation, and we have to describe God in each of these works as Father, Son, and Spirit. God is One - and we can perceive and confess that God is one only from within that movement; this "movement" does not enable anyone to draw up a protocol, to describe it from a distance.

We may use concepts, e.g. "simplicity", but God will use these concepts in God's own way and thus say God's own word, breaking through all human knowledge of God. It is important that God uses our knowledge of God as a background for new insights which cannot be known in advance. But it is equally important for us not to stick to any such insight and then unfold its implications. A good example for such a wrong use of our knowledge of God may be the Arian theology, stressing God's transcendence and eternity and oneness which implies, that the word of God cannot be strictly divine. The Doctrine of the Trinity leads us to a surprising modification of terms such as "eternity" - as soon as we are involved in God's movement!
attempted to give an answer. He explicitly discusses the "subject" of theology. In the beginning of the Summa theologica he introduces the "holy doctrine" as science (scientia) and asks
whether God is the subiectum of this science. Thomas rules out any philosophical definition of God's Divinity, because God remains unutterable. God is disclosed in the creation, in the relation to God which characterizes all that is. Every creature is related to God and can therefore be articulated theologically. Three aspects of this determination are remarkable: (1) Thomas uses the term "subject" although he knows that God must not be mistaken for any given fact. Such a mistake is excluded (2) by the distinction between God and the creation. God makes that distinction by becoming objective in the world: The whole world is related to the creator, and this relation discloses the distinction as well. It is (3) revealed by God - it is impossible for us to infer it. To discern the inner unity of the world we need the articles of faith, a knowledge given by God; these articles are the principles of theological science.

Thomas seems simply to advance traditional authorities. The microstructure of his argumentations, however, shows a confrontation between metaphysical insights and biblical statements: a confrontation which articulates the world pervaded by God, [but no theological reinterpretation of metaphysics.] In this respect, the summa theologica might be a standard even today.

It is necessary to examine whether Thomas sometimes simply draws conclusions concerning God from the given world. But apart from that point the complexity claimed for theology is important. This complexity is based upon the fact that theology has to talk about God without being able to comprehend God - which holds especially for theologcical language. Theology as a science now gains a drainatic character. At the same time, theology is realistic: it has nothing to produce, and certainly nothing to produce by any stylistic charm. All this can be seen in the summa, although it may be dry and doctrinal.

MARTIN LUTHER has given an answer to the third question: "Who are we in relation to God?" Luther's words may lead us to a concept of "object" which avoids overstressing the difference between
theology and the medieval "humanities". On the other hand, theology and its "object" may be characterized, because the human being as "subject matter" of theology is neither tine person who has certain privileges (the "subject matter" of jurisprudence) nor the sick (as for medicin). Luther uses the "scholastic" concept of "subject matter", but his characterization of theology modifies that concept:
"The characteristic subject of theology is the human being as guilty of sin and rejected - and God justifying and saving the sinful human being. Anything we ask or discuss beyond this subject of theology is error and poison." = Man tw Sman "Got He Samo
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 exclusively talks about the sinner and God the Saviour. The point of his determination is that true knowledge of human personality is limited to the personal encounter with God and true knowledge of God is limited to our experience of God acting towards us as Judge and Saviour. We cannot talk about God in Himself or human personality as such, nor about the relation, rather of the happening we are involved in, that God justifies and saves the person, whom we can perceive only as justified and saved. CALVIN agrees with Luther in the beginning of the Institutio, when he underscores the unsolvable connection between knowledge of God and self-knowledge.

What can we learn from the three sketches? The character of dogmatics is outlined by fundamental statements of theology, which are related to each other and form a certain texture. We may call these statements "axioms" - it is not possible to get behind them; misunderstanding. They are presupposed in all further statements is punib, puox wit of dogmatics. The doctrine of the trinity and Luther's description of the "subject of theology" are such axioms. Their quintessence is: God has acted! This - and nothing else - is the starting point for Christian theology.

Axioms connect terms which are fundamental for a science. The axiomatic structure of theology enables us to prove statements theologically. At the same time, this structure may be compared to other sciences.

The first parallel: Axioms are statements. They outline the possibilities of theological language. At the same time, therefore, they mark the limits of language. In a certain sense, they are the borderline between language and reality. Insights and intuitive perceptions may "gear in" from beyond these limits. Axioms confront language with non-linguistic reality - they force us to take into account the problem "word and object" (QUINE), a fundamental problem for philosophy of language and for philosophy of science as well. The discussion at this point has been extremely productive for the basic research in recent physics; the examination of the role of axioms in this context sheds light on the revolutions characterizing the history of science. This has been demonstrated by T.S. KUHN and - with regard to the philosophy of language - HILARY PUTNAM.

ALFRED NORTH WHITEHEAD wants to distinguish between the immediate relation to reality and the reference of language - without deriving reference from basic experience. The distinction rather is meant to draw attention to the limits of language. Kuhn points out, that axioms constitute reality for us - in contrast to the naive, but still widespread idea of immediate sense data as last resort for truth and reference. Of course, axioms do not constitute reality without what Putnam calls the "contribution of the environment". Language, to be reliable, depends on its environment. Reality has to "join the game"; otherwise, language does not work and gets useless and arbitrary. It is impossible to argue for an absolutism of language, but language is the presupposition for perception, which depends on definable and irreversible connections of statements.

The second parallel: Axioms indicate liability. There are not only conventional answers to the questions we ask. For us, they are the
extreme tangents of absolute and immoveable reality. In this respect, they may be only relative, related to what they designate, but they are the constant framework for the further statements derived from them. Axioms enable us to find out the logical position of any single theological statement, to connect it to others and to formulate hypothetical new statements. Therefore, axioms are the starting points for scientific argumentation and they give perspective and depth to science.

Every science has axioms as fundamental statements. They differ essentially from intuitive insights - which may give important impulses for scientific discovery. Axioms are the rules for producing a linguistic texture of correlated statements. The set of fundamental suppositions records what appears irrefutable to the scientist. Along these lines, Whitehead even talks of dogmas of physics: "In exactly the same way the dogmas of physical science are the attempts to formulate in precise terms the truths disclosed in the sense-perception of mankind." This indicates the point of comparison between sciences and - at the same time - the point, where they may diverge fundamentally. Axioms have a dcgmatic status, because they define "what is true". They do not, however, define "truth as such". Nevertheless, they are the basis for further observations. Just for that reason we may call them "dogmas", since a dogma is by no means an assertion which only claims to be valid.

As far as the truth of scientific statements is concerned, dogmas and axioms have the same function. THOMAS TORRANCE seems to be right when he reminds us of the fact, that the term "dogma" formerly was not under the suspicion of a merely authoritative assertion. The "emphasis upon dogma came to be upon well-grounded and agreed affirmation rather than arbitrary and individual opinion, and upon positive and constructive as opposed to sceptical or merely critical thought." That was the use of the word in the writings of the church fathers. Conversely, MICHAEL POLANYI - as a scientist of today who is interested in epistemology - uses religious or quasi-religious words, e.g.
"belief", in order to characterize a kind of basic trust in reality. Such a basic trust prevents the scientist from taking possession of reality instead of listening to the "selfexplication" of reality, which the scientist cannot control as he controls the scientific operations.

Of course, we have to consider the limits of the analogy. We do not propose to baptize scientific research. Conversely, "faith" is much more than a basic trust which agrees to given reality. The analogy between dogma and axiom also points to the divergence:

It is not possible to take one's choice concerning the axioms of theology, in contrast to other sciences. In geometry, you have to decide whether you accept the parallel postulate or whether you reject it. In the latter case, you have to replace euclid's threedimensional geometry. That was EINSTEIN's decision, which led him to the theory of relativity. This decision, however, does not affect everyday geometry. But in the framework of relativist physics, the new set of axioms is obligatory. You may choose the rule, but then you have to follow the rule. To accept methematical axioms implies that for mathematical operations any further inquiry concerning the historical or conventional genesis of the numerative system is superfluous. On the other hand, it is typical for axioms that they open up scientific operations. For example: biology defines "life" by a set of parameters, which are fundamental for planning and realizing experiments in order to acquire empirical observations. Thus they may be corrobated, although it is not possible to verify them by an experiment. The scientist who does not accept the axioms of biology does not make an arbitrary decision, but simply denies the possibility of the investigation of life. There may be reasons for such a decision; science may be forced to modify or even to drop axioms. Such a decision, however, does not affect the validity of the axioms in relation to the knowledge discovered with the help of them.

Why is it impossible to take one's choice concerning the axioms of theology? It has been possible to reject the doctrine of the
trinity - but what can we learn from these examples (e.g. SCHLEIERMACHER)? They do without a certain dogmatic tradition, but at the same time they reject the axiomatic structure of tineology as such and exchange it for another - say, philosophical - set of axioms.

Sciences are comparable because of their axiomatic structure, but they differ from each other in the microstructure of their axioms. The constitution of an axiom cannot be evident from the historical genesis of certain - although fundamental - insights. For example: The encounter with Jesus of Nazareth was overwhelming, because God was present in that person. The apostles' faith was confirmed at Easter, the cross had been a shock to them, but this shock was transfigured into the confidence that God was present in their lives. All this does not exhaust the statement of christian theology concerning incarnation and the essential likeness of Jesus Christ and God. Another example: The Jews talk of God as the Saviour. It may be possible to trace back such a predicate to the experience of liberation in the Exodus - certainly a crucial event in the history of Israel and the church and a starting point for the narrative realization of that history. But the narrative does not answer the question: Who is the subject, who acts, who enables us to tell a story which is more than fiction?

A fact has been created: An unexpected and surprising experience, contingent and not deducible from all former experiences and all the expectations which sprang from these experiences. To articulate such a fact immediately implies God-talk, because such a fact implies God's self-revelation. This articulation therefore gets a profile and makes it possible to recognize God in comparable situations. This is the outer surface of theological thought "in the making": Human persons have to change the way of talking about God. They call God the Liberator - with regard to the Exodus - and they call God the Lord, the incarnate Logos, who acts in the life, death and resurrection of Jesus.

Such a talk of God essentially points to God and is totally
dependent on God's presence, because it cannot establish itself. The physiognomy of christian theology sketched above is a good illustration: It outlines the event which we can recognize as God's encounter with us, but it cannot prognosticate that event. It outlines a certain movement. Therefore, the axioms of theology are constituted dialectically.

Let us start with the core, the possibility of all theological language. The question, whether and for what reason persons talk of God, is answered by Christian theology with the twofold statement: We are not able to talk of God - we are forced to talk of God, we are not able to evade it. That is true even in the case of the man from whom Jesus demands faith and who cries, desperately and at the same time beside himself: "I believe, my Lord, help my unbelief!" (Mk 9,24). Unbelief must not be mistaken for the subjective impossibility of faith, which implies, that we have to take the risk of a jump into the faith. Unbelief is nothing else than sin - we have to call back Luther's definition! God-talk is completely pervaded by the dialectical movement of sin and grace, unbelief and faith, a movement which outlines the mystery of faith: a change of place wrenching us from our terrible self-reference, which even prevents us from discerning our sin. Luther has put it bluntly: "That is the reason for the certainty of our theology: It wrenches us from ourselves and puts us beside ourselves (extra nos), so that we do not trust in our own strength, conscience, perception, character and work, but that we may trust in what is beyond ourselves: God's promise and truth which does not deceive us."

The dialectics of faith rules out any subjective foundation of dogmatics. The self, especially the self confessing "I believe", is not a fixed point of reality and certainly not the central point of the universe. At most, the self can discover tensions and polarities, a field and framework for interaction with reality. Dialectics, then, is reduced and twisted - a permanent transcendence and at the same time a search for the self.

After the acid-test of scientific critique of knowledge, scientists and philosophers will not follow such a movement of the self. "Self" and "world" are related dialectically, an insight which essentially gets over the cartesian vis-à-vis of subject and object which has been fatal for the notion of scientific objects. Every single act of perception is a transitional moment in the stream of events summarized by the word "world". Each part of the world, then, can be fixed only approximately and coarsely (we may think of Einstein and of Heisenberg).

All this certainly leaves behind a mere subjectivism, but it does not hit the mark as far as the movement of faith is concerned. This movement is limited by another dialectics which includes it. God takes humanity in Jesus Christ, accepts even death, enters the world without being absorbed. God becomes objective in the world, but that immediately implies the distinction between God and world. God changes the cosmos, because the cosmos "including" God cannot any more move itself and rest on itself. God's presence limits the cosmos, each time anew by God's revelation, which is characterized by the dialectical congruence of disclosure and concealment in the mystery of God's presence. God acts in God's own way: The revelation contradicts all the human interpretations of the world, all the projections which emerge when we read and shape the world in order to project our personality into the world. The divine contradiction, however, implies the possibility to recognize and to expect God's presence, and at the same time implies that it is impossible to predict the encounter, to infer it from our interpretation of the world.

This dialectical movement points to God's essence, or rather: to the necessity of dialectical talk of God, which we cannot exceed, because God-talk is bound to God's self-revelation, which dialectically ties together judgment and salvation. This is the ultimate dialectical structure of christian theology and its statements concerning the One and individual God, who alone unites what we cannot bring to a synthesis. This may be illustrated by the biblical attributes of God: power and love, justice and mercy.

Of course, God is not simply erratic and unpredictable, but God's actions are different - in order to lead us to the ultimate unity, which essentially disappears as soon as we try to conceive it. To experience this unity implies the suspension of our split world of values - neither a synthesis nor a complexio oppositorum. The dialectical movement of our talk of God resists all attempts to conceptualize God, to define God as omnitudo realitatis, as the whole of reality. Einstein has put it in his way: "The Lord God is clever, but not tricky." We can read this sentence (in German!) in the Lounge of the Department of Physics in Princeton University.

The dialectical structure of God-talk takes us back to the starting-piont, to the question: how can we talk of God? To address God, in complaint, request and thanks, moves us towards God's movement into the world! Our language is not able to represent God, but it can give an outline and point to the traces of God's movement. The dialectics of theological language, then, is the movement of faith, so that we reach the point where we have to start. This belongs to the characteristics of dialectical structures, which must not be mistaken for the circular movements of the self-centered self.

Therefore, we find the ultimate dialectics of theology as soon as we talk of God. This dialectical movement is asymmetrical: it is initiated by God and we are involved, but our part is no conterbalance. This dialectical asymmetry has been stated in Micha 6,8: "You have been told..." Therefore, KARL BARTH was right when he characterized the First commandment as theological axiom. This word contradicts our monologues; we are made listeners, involved in an asymmetrical relation which is no dialogue, although we may answer and even address God as a friend.

Dogmatics has to state what we must say "for God's sake", because we would have to be silent otherwiese. To put it differently: dogmatics states, what we can keep secret only at a heavy price, the denial of God.

This is the testimony exacted from all who take over the task of dogmatics. In dogmatics we weigh the price for confessing or denying God. We have to decide what is essential for the faith and what has to be excluded. Dogmatics cannot replace the testimony of faith, but it may be helpful if we have to argue for our faith. All the knowledge and all the experience in dogmatical ways of thinking, acquired and corrobated over the centuries, may be helpful as soon as we articulate our faith in order to convince other persons rather than to persuade them.

Doing dogmatics we must not exact less from ourselves than from all who confess "I believe". Moreover, we have to accept another task which belongs to our profession: We have to account for the answers to indismissable questions - and for answers which must be excluded because they produce fatal contradictions.

The question for the "subject matter" of theology is indismissable. Dogmatically, the question is answered by the set of axioms, so that the dialectical constitution of the axioms at once structures theology as a whole. Therefore, theology is characterized by dogmatics - for that reason it's rather pointless to distinguish sharply between theology and dogmatics.

Dogmatical statements define, what we must say "for God's sake", because otherwise we would have to be silent. Therefore, certain other determinations of dogmatics are excluded. For instance: dogmatics cannot explain the world; otherwise dogmatics will loosé its character. Of course, there have been attempts to give a dogmatical explanation of reality as a whole in the history of Christian theology. But what was the price? Similarly, dogmatics cannot answer the question for the true meaning of the world; it is not possible to connect meaningful experiences and actions in order to make dogmatics a theory of meaningfulness. All things considered, dogmatical theology must not take into account anything which might change its dialectical constitution and replace it by polarities or abstract relations. Otherwise, dogmatics will be "perfidious". Finally, we must exclude any
ultimate foundation by human reason, which is intended to prove dogmatic statements. Dogmatics, then, will necessarily loose its own character, because it is integrated into some other set of axioms. This aspect is just the point, where the axiomatic foundation of theology converges with the general discussion in the philosophy of science. Therefore, theology may enter the debate in a productive way.
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to shatter that foundation and destroy its unity. The more important women become in the life of the church, the more important become the purity and unity of their lives together in Christ. But it is reassuring to note that there was still no open break, no schism, and Paul is confident that with the help of their fellow Christians, including the "true yokefellow" (who was, perhaps, the husband of one of the two), the breach will be healed and harmony restored. So again he says, "Rejoice!"

## Philippians 4: 4-9-Worry About Nothing; Rejoice in Everything

Rejoice in the Lord always; again I will say, Rejoice. Let all men know your forbearance. The Lord is at hand. Have no anxiety about anything, but in everything by prayer . . . with thanksgiving let your requests be made known to God. And the peace of God, which passes all understanding, will keep your hearts and . . . minds in Christ Jesus. Finally, brethren, whatever is true, . . . honorable, . . . just, . . . pure, . . . lovely, . . . gracious, if there is any excellence, . . . anything worthy of praise, think about these things. What you have . . . heard and seen in me, do; and the God of peace will be with you.

Paul has three important things to say in this passage about the deep roots and wide reaches of the joy that drives out fear and calms anxiety.

1. It is, first of all, a joy that begins in Christ (vs. 4-5). Note how easily and naturally Paul works into his plea for harmony and joy a reminder that it takes more than a turning to each other to restore Christian unity in God's people. Three times he repeats the seminal phrase, "in the Lord": "Stand firm in the Lord" (v. 1); "Agree in the Lord" (v. 2); and now again, "Rejoice in the Lord" (v. 4). He is a good teacher. Repetition enforces the lesson he has already taught in ch. 2 that the power for Christian joy and unity comes not from man but from Christ, for being "in Christ." So sure is Paulof this power that he can dismiss the shadow of the quarrel from his mind, depending on Euodia and Syntyche to demonstrate openly what they alteady have in Christ, namely, the gentle and forgiving spirit that Paul calls "forbearance" (v. 5).

In that confidence the apostle turns again to the joy that never fails him, joy in the Lord, and adds the happy shout of the early Christians, which was their watchword and signal, the last word on the lips of the martyrs as they faced, with a joy their persecutors could never understand, the beasts and the fire and the sword. "The Lord is at hand." "Maranatha." He is with us now; and he will come again. So what is there to fear? Rejoice!
2. It is a joy without anxiety that grows by prayer (vs. 6-7). There is indeed absolutely nothing for the Christian to be anxious about, says Paul. In his book The Adequate Man: Paul in Philippians, Paul Rees quotes a physician's analysis of the "worries" he was called upon to treat as patients. "Forty per-
cent worried over things that never happened. Thirty percent of the worries analysed were related to past matters which were now beyond the patients control. Twelve percent were anxious about their health, although their only illness was in their imagination. Ten percent worried over their families, their friends or the neighbors, although in most cases there was no substantial basis for the fears that were causing trouble. Just eight percent of the worries seemed to have some basis in conditions that needed to be remedied."

Paul's answer to all such anxieties, real or imagined, is, Take them to the Lord in prayer. That has a dangerous sound to it, to be sure. It could be just another pious platitude, except for the vitalizing little phrase that is attached to it: "with thanksgiving" (v. 6). Pray thankfully, says Paul, not anxiously, not adding to all your other anxieties by wondering how long it will take God to do all you are asking him to do. Paul does not promise that God will do what you ask. All he ever promises is that God hears prayer. But for the privilege of access to the very throne of God who would not be thankful, and who can fail but find peace in his presence? The verse that follows (v. 7) has brought more peace to more troubled minds than all the psychotherapy in the world, rightfully important though psychotherapy is even for Christians. There is somehow a healing, tranquil power even in the simple repetition of the verse: "The peace of God, which passes all understanding, will keep your hearts and your minds in Christ Jesus." This is Paul's promise from the Spirit to the anxious. Perhaps in English the words are almost too soothing. Paul's actual language is almost military. There is no weakness in it, but power. "God's peace shall stand sentry, shall keep guard over your hearts," is how Bishop Lightfoot paraphrases it.
3. It is a joy that delights in every good thing (vs. 8-9). In v. 8, Paul moves an ; chl from the world of a Judeo-Christian benediction) into the clear, cold world of Greek philosophy. The list of virtues that he begins to enumerate is pure Greek. This is rare for Paul, who was all Jewish, and a little Roman, but Greek only around the edges. He was raised, however, in a Greek university town, and he was writing to a church in Greece, and he was no narrow iconoclast. All that was good in Rome he was willing to use for the gospel. Perhaps he is reminding his converts here that all that is good in Greece, too-all the truth and riches of the highest philosophy the world has known outside of Christ-this too can be used for the gospel.

Thére-is, a change in the language and in the atmosphere-but there is no real break in the continuity of thought: Paul has spoken, in v. 7, of the peace of God which keeps our minds in Christ Jesus. This is its protection and its souice of strength, but the language might also suggest a restricting confinement. As if to correct-any such misapprehension, in the next verse Paul begins to describe the high, free horizons of the Christian mind. It delights in all the greatest virtues, the loftiest goals that man anywhere and anytime has ever conceived: (1) truth, the goal of science and philosophy; (2) honor, the highest prize of human ethics; (3) justice (or righteousness), the aim of lew
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Children's Sermon

## THE CHINESE RING

Then I was a boy living far away across the ocean in Korea, I once visited the old, old Chinese city of eking. There I liked to walk up and down the street of the silversmiths where the croftsmen would sit in their little open stores hainmering and carving bright shining pieces of silver into all sorts of beautiful silver jewelry. There were great silver combs and platters, and tiny little silver snuff boxes, and delicately carved signet rings, but out of all the great, sparkling, silver array, one ring interested me most.
the ne ow s tam te deed it it inerwit if $l$ a gimp
(It seemed to be) made of four strands of silver, all woven closely together (in an intricate fashion) to form one solid silver shipluepen
ring. The (friendly little Chinaman) held it out to me to admire, and It was beautiful there in the bright sun. But when I stretched out my hand to take it up and look at it closer, as I touched it, it fell to pieces in my hand. (There was no longer any solid, shining silver ring there--it was just an ugly, twisted mass of metal in my hand:)

For on awful instant I thought I had broken the beautiful thing, (and I felt very badly.) But the little Chinaman smiled, and took the ring from my hand. (Right there as I watched him, he made a few skillful moves, (juggled the twisted pieces around,) and suddenly there was the ring again, bright, shining, and beautiful(--perfectly put together once move

## 1,1 s wit

Isn't that just what Jesus does for us? You and I are very

## -2-

much like that Chinese ring. When God first made us, he made us as bright and shining and well put together as the ring when I first saw it. How I wish we could all stay that way. But we don't, do we? Some of us begin to tell lies; some of us begin to take things that don't belong to us; some of us get angry: some of us get all high and proud within. Before we know it, we're all shaken apart by sin, just as the ring was shaken apart in my hand.

Then, (of course, when we see what's happened to us, we try to put ourselves back together again, and be good. (Sometimes it works for a day, but have you ever tried to be good for a whole week--say, the week just before Christmas? It is hard, isn't it. Now try it for a whole year.) You can't do it, just as I couldn't put that ring back together again. Even after I had seen the Chinaman do it, I fumbled around with those funny looking pieces of metal, and couldn't make a ring out of them. But he did it, and did it very easily, because he had made the ring. He knew all about it.

And Jesus knows all about us, because he made us. Don't try to be good all by yourself, you cant do it. Before you can live as Jesus wants you to, He must hold you in his hand and go with you, putting the tangled strands of your life back together in His way, not yours. He'll do it because he loves you. He'll take your twisted soul 1 mind to be and make it strong and sturdy and beautiful as the Chinese silver ring.
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(D)Untle bos- wo colluction existad. Not weeded. Eup-mintrenes stell alis

(3) Alut tre g Qolus witri- the pren grople collited ane bent Bepre Rome Lid Murk, Synis Lack Methiw, gentiea hat hate, Eptions had goton. The Aun was called The fincel.




(b) Io athstax chec becdev rephed reatfing the camm: -

4 Sopels, not. 1.
13 Panlie lelters, not 10.
Ads a Atses apostolic initimpo.

Smen buns allad to be read in cle fr edpuati- Ent nit as Scupt.
Banuebas
Shephed y Herma
Cldmat to Gimet.
 Eusebins (325) - nopets James, puck, $=$ Ret. 2.3 gitam.
Fint list 727 presenth accefted-Athanasims Easter 6ttas, 367 A.D.


The Tants (if畀mu)

1. O.T. - Exaituy ducmey - H. Ded See Sonle, 19Ys.



 knumen. If th them - rdant iws. in lemmaid 9/6 A.D.
Wh no cerbes mes? Rabbis reparted tion as so hely, thet when pille usss becane um out - thes ware bunil renerentis, sinks-extumely constul. Conded each letta how mey thens it appeen in ceac bars.

 geveren g Mases. Sce bee $\mathcal{L}$ e edrans miated a leten T nole it reed Mumaseh (mided be) nit Mo. Rot courulle, smpiced the whe letter berith $t$ line
S alo the ind fo Ger-YAurt Culs t be pruad whe HhP Pint waters thy 3 thines $\delta_{0}$ in pusti reeds and
 then Yatwee, the nyind ond
N.T.

No sumal. But so alw Cassans Gellic Wian. - so po lates Heworotus o Amecyides 130 m m. Letes
Font sooo juek NT mus. extent





pheat center a croris.
(1) Alexalus - Sunations (Anss.VEnin)
(2) Ceoserea - med in gundem.
(3) Antuction - eds Smien is clum
(9) Westem - Cupantain. Tin $w$ limenaxple text.


- odited the Atovs.


[^0]:    * Preached by Dr. Robert J. Lamont, Sunday morning, March 11, 1962, and broadcast over Radio Station KDKA.

